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## WHLRE ARE THE BANANAS BERTE


$\square$
$\square$
$\square$

## ANNOUNCEMENTS

- HW1 is graded (few remaining). Solutions are posted on Canvas -> Files
- HW2 is due tonight @ 11:59pm!
- HW3 will be released tonight @ 11:59pm! The shortest assignment yet.
- Candidate Research Projects have been announced.
- Read them on `Research Brainstorming` spreadsheet.
- Indicate your preferences on the Google Form (see Ed post) by Wed 11:59pm
- Tonight @ 8pm, Zoom will be open for anyone who wishes to discuss projects


## RESEARCH PROJECTS

- Phase II is due Oct 14 @ 11:59pm. See website for full expectations.
- Abstract + Related Works + Introduction (this will improve over time).
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## Self-Attention

Step 1: Our Self-Attention Head I has just 3 weight matrices $W_{q}, W_{k}, W_{v}$ in total. These same 3 weight matrices are multiplied by each $x_{i}$ to create all vectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} & =\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} & =\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} & =\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Under the hood, each $x_{i}$ has 3 small, associated vectors. For example, $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ has:

- Query $\mathrm{q}_{1}$
- Key k $1_{1}$
- Value $\mathbf{v}_{1}$


## Self-Attention

Step 2: For word $\mathrm{x}_{2}$, let's calculate the scores $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{4}$, which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" $v_{i}$
$s_{1}=q_{2} \cdot k_{1}=92$
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## Self-Attention

Step 2: For word $\mathrm{x}_{2}$, let's calculate the scores $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{4}$, which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" $v_{i}$
$\mathrm{s}_{4}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{4}=8$
$\mathrm{s}_{3}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{3}=22$
$\mathrm{s}_{2}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{2}=124$
$\mathrm{s}_{1}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{1}=92$


## Self-Attention

Step 3: Our scores $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{4}$ don't sum to 1 . Let's divide by $\sqrt{l e n}\left(k_{i}\right)$ and softmax it

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s}_{4}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{4}=8 & \mathrm{a}_{4}=\sigma\left(s_{4} / 8\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{3}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{3}=22 & \mathrm{a}_{3}=\sigma\left(s_{3} / 8\right)=.01 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{2}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{2}=124 & \mathrm{a}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(s_{2} / 8\right)=.91 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{1}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{1}=92 & \mathrm{a}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(s_{1} / 8\right)=.08
\end{array}
$$



## Self-Attention

Step 3: Our scores $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{4}$ don't sum to 1 . Let's divide by $\sqrt{l e n}\left(k_{i}\right)$ and softmax it

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s}_{4}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{4}=8 & \mathrm{a}_{4}=\sigma\left(s_{4} / 8\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{3}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{3}=22 & \mathrm{a}_{3}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(s_{3} / 8\right)=.01 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{2}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{2}=124 & \mathrm{a}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(s_{2} / 8\right)=.91 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{1}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{k}_{1}=92 & \mathrm{a}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(s_{1} / 8\right)=.08
\end{array}
$$

Instead of these $a_{i}$ values directly weighting our original $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ word vectors, they directly weight our $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ vectors.


## Self-Attention

Step 4: Let's weight our $v_{i}$ vectors and simply sum them up!

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{2} & =a_{1} \cdot v_{1}+a_{2} \cdot v_{2}+a_{3} \cdot v_{3}+a_{4} \cdot v_{4} \\
& =0.08 \cdot v_{1}+0.91 \cdot v_{2}+0.01 \cdot v_{3}+0 \cdot v_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Self-Attention

Tada! Now we have great, new representations $z_{i}$ via a self-attention head



# Self-Attention may seem strikingly like Attention in seq2seq models 

Q: What are the key, query, value vectors in the Attention setup?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s}_{4}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{4}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{4}=\sigma\left(s_{4}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}_{3}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{3}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{3}=\sigma\left(s_{3}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}_{2}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{2}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{2}=\sigma\left(s_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}_{1}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{1}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{1}=\sigma\left(s_{1}\right)
\end{array}
$$
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## Attention



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s}_{4}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{4}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{4}=\sigma\left(s_{4}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}_{3}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{3}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{3}=\sigma\left(s_{3}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}_{2}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{2}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{2}=\sigma\left(s_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}_{1}=h_{1}^{D} * h_{1}^{E} & \mathrm{a}_{1}=\sigma\left(s_{1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

We multiply each encoder's hidden layer by its $a_{i}^{1}$ attention weights to create a context vector $c_{1}^{D}$
$c_{1}^{D}=a_{1} \cdot h_{1}{ }^{E}+a_{2} \cdot h_{2}{ }^{E}+a_{3} \cdot h_{3}{ }^{E}+a_{4} \cdot h_{4}{ }^{E}$

## Attention



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{4}=q_{2} \cdot k_{4} & a_{4}=\sigma\left(s_{4} / 8\right) \\
s_{3}=q_{2} \cdot k_{3} & a_{3}=\sigma\left(s_{3} / 8\right) \\
s_{2}=q_{2} \cdot k_{2} & a_{2}=\sigma\left(s_{2} / 8\right) \\
s_{1}=q_{2} \cdot k_{1} & a_{1}=\sigma\left(s_{1} / 8\right)
\end{array}
$$

We multiply each word's value vector by its $a_{i}^{1}$ attention weights to create a better vector $\mathrm{z}_{1}$
$z_{1}=a_{1} \cdot v_{1}^{E}+a_{2} \cdot v_{2}^{E}+a_{3} \cdot v_{3}^{E}+a_{4} \cdot v_{4}^{E}$

## Self-Attention
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We concat w/ a residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

We perform LayerNorm to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow. You should do this after the FFNN, too.

## Self-Attention + FFNN



Let's further pass each $z_{i}$ through a FFNN

We concat w/ a residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

We perform LayerNorm to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow. You should do this after the FFNN, too.

Each $z_{i}$ can be computed in parallel, unlike LSTMs!

## Transformer Encoder



Yay! Our $r_{i}$ vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a Transformer Encoder
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## Transformer Encoder



Yay! Our $r_{i}$ vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a Transformer Encoder

Problem: there is no concept of positionality. Words are weighted as if a "bag of words"

Solution: add to each input word $x_{i}$ a positional encoding $\sim \sin (i) \cos (i)$

## Position Encodings




A Self-Attention Head has just one set of query/key/value weight matrices $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{v}}$

Words can relate in many ways, so it's restrictive to rely on just one Self-Attention Head in the system.

## Let's create Multi-headed Self-Attention

## Transformer Encoder



## Each Self-Attention Head

 produces a $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ vector.We can, in parallel, use multiple heads and concat the $z_{i}^{\prime}$ s.

## Transformer Encoder



To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good contextualized embedding $r_{i}$ of each word $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$
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> To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good contextualized embedding $r_{i}$ of each word $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$

Why stop with just 1 Transformer Encoder? We could stack several!

## Transformer Encoder



The original Transformer model was intended for Machine Translation, so it had Decoders, too
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## Transformer Decoder



## Transformer Encoders and Decoders



## Transformer Encoders and Decoders



## NOTE

Transformer Decoders are identical to the Encoders, except they have an additional Attention Head in between the SelfAttention and FFNN layers.

This additional Attention Head focuses on parts of the encoder's representations.

## Transformer Encoders and Decoders



## Transformer Encoders and Decoders



## NOTE

The query, key, and value vectors for a Transformer Decoder's Self-Attention Head (not Attention Head) are all from the output of the previous decoder layer.

## Transformer Encoders and Decoders



## IMPORTANT

The Transformer Decoders have positional embeddings, too, just like the Encoders.

Critically, each position is only allowed to attend to the previous indices. This masked Attention preserves it as being an auto-regressive LM.


Decoding time step:(1) 23456
OUTPUT


Embedding WITH TIME SIGNAL

## $\square \square$

$\square$
$\square$

EMBEDDINGS



Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

Loss Function: cross-entropy (predicting translated word)

Training Time: $\sim 4$ days on (8) GPUs

| Layer Type | Complexity per Layer | Sequential <br> Operations | Maximum Path Length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-Attention | $O\left(n^{2} \cdot d\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(1)$ |
| Recurrent | $O\left(n \cdot d^{2}\right)$ | $O(n)$ | $O(n)$ |
| Convolutional | $O\left(k \cdot n \cdot d^{2}\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O\left(\log _{k}(n)\right)$ |
| Self-Attention (restricted) | $O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(n / r)$ |

$n=$ sequence length
$d=$ length of representation (vector)

## Q: Is the complexity of self-attention good?

| Layer Type | Complexity per Layer | Sequential <br> Operations | Maximum Path Length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-Attention | $O\left(n^{2} \cdot d\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(1)$ |
| Recurrent | $O\left(n \cdot d^{2}\right)$ | $O(n)$ | $O(n)$ |
| Convolutional | $O\left(k \cdot n \cdot d^{2}\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O\left(\log _{k}(n)\right)$ |
| Self-Attention (restricted) | $O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(n / r)$ |

Important: when learning dependencies b/w words, you don't want long paths. Shorter is better.

Self-attention connects all positions with a constant \# of sequentially executed operations, whereas RNNs require $O(n)$.

| Layer Type | Complexity per Layer | Sequential <br> Operations | Maximum Path Length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-Attention | $O\left(n^{2} \cdot d\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(1)$ |
| Recurrent | $O\left(n \cdot d^{2}\right)$ | $O(n)$ | $O(n)$ |
| Convolutional | $O\left(k \cdot n \cdot d^{2}\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O\left(\log _{k}(n)\right)$ |
| Self-Attention (restricted) | $O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(n / r)$ |

Machine Translation results: state-of-the-art (at the time)

| Model | BLEU |  |  | Training Cost (FLOPs) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | EN-DE | EN-FR |  | EN-DE | EN-FR |
| ByteNet [18] | 23.75 |  |  |  |  |
| Deep-Att + PosUnk [39] |  | 39.2 |  |  |  |
| GNMT + RL [38] | 24.6 | 39.92 |  | $2.3 \cdot 10^{20}$ |  |
| ConvS2S [9] | 25.16 | 40.46 |  | $9.6 \cdot 10^{18}$ | $1.5 \cdot 10^{20}$ |
| MoE [32] | 26.03 | 40.56 |  | $2.0 \cdot 10^{19}$ | $1.2 \cdot 10^{20}$ |
| Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39] |  | 40.4 |  |  | $8.0 \cdot 10^{20}$ |
| GNMT + RL Ensemble [38] | 26.30 | 41.16 |  | $1.8 \cdot 10^{20}$ | $1.1 \cdot 10^{21}$ |
| ConvS2S Ensemble [9] | 26.36 | $\mathbf{4 1 . 2 9}$ |  | $7.7 \cdot 10^{19}$ | $1.2 \cdot 10^{21}$ |
| Transformer (base model) | 27.3 | 38.1 |  | $\mathbf{3 . 3} \cdot \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{1 8}}$ |  |
| Transformer (big) | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 8}$ |  | $2.3 \cdot 10^{19}$ |  |

Machine Translation results: state-of-the-art (at the time)

You can train to translate from Language A to Language B.

Then train it to translate from Language B. to Language C.

Then, without training, it can translate from Language A to
Language C

- What if we don't want to decode/translate?
- Just want to perform a particular task (e.g., classification)
- Want even more robust, flexible, rich representation!
- Want positionality to play a more explicit role, while not being restricted to a particular form (e.g., CNNs)
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## BERT

## Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers

## BERT

# Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers 

Like Bidirectional LSTMs, let's look in both directions

## BERT

# Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers 

Let's only use Transformer Encoders, no Decoders

## BERT

# Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers 

It's a language model that builds rich representations


## BERT

brown 0.92
lazy 0.05
playful 0.03

## BERT has 2 training objectives:

1. Predict the Masked word (a la CBOW)
$15 \%$ of all input words are randomly masked.

- 80\% become [MASK]
- 10\% become revert back
- $10 \%$ become are deliberately corrupted as wrong words


## BERT

brown 0.92
lazy 0.05
playful 0.03


## BERT has 2 training objectives:

2. Two sentences are fed in at a time. Predict the if the second sentence of input truly follows the first one or not.

## BERT



Every two sentences are separated by a <SEP> token.
$50 \%$ of the time, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ sentence is a randomly selected sentence from the corpus.
$50 \%$ of the time, it truly follows the first sentence in the corpus.

## BERT



NOTE: BERT also embeds the inputs by their WordPiece embeddings.

## WordPiece is a sub-word tokenization

 learns to merge and use characters based on which pairs maximize the likelihood of the training data if added to the vocab.
## BERT

One could extract the contextualized embeddings


The output of each encoder layer along each token's path can be used as a feature representing that token.


## BERT

Later layers have the best contextualized embeddings


## BERT

## BERT yields state-of-the-art (SOTA) results on many tasks

| System | MNLI- $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm})$ | QQP | QNLI | SST-2 | CoLA | STS-B | MRPC | RTE | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 392 k | 363 k | 108 k | 67 k | 8.5 k | 5.7 k | 3.5 k | 2.5 k | - |
| Pre-OpenAI SOTA | $80.6 / 80.1$ | 66.1 | 82.3 | 93.2 | 35.0 | 81.0 | 86.0 | 61.7 | 74.0 |
| BiLSTM+ELMO+Attn | $76.4 / 76.1$ | 64.8 | 79.8 | 90.4 | 36.0 | 73.3 | 84.9 | 56.8 | 71.0 |
| OpenAI GPT $^{\text {BERT }_{\text {BASE }}}$ | $82.1 / 81.4$ | 70.3 | 87.4 | 91.3 | 45.4 | 80.0 | 82.3 | 56.0 | 75.1 |
| BERT $_{\text {LARGE }}$ | $84.6 / 83.4$ | 71.2 | 90.5 | 93.5 | 52.1 | 85.8 | 88.9 | 66.4 | 79.6 |

Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the evaluation server (https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard).


