Lecture 25: Transformers

NLP Lectures: Part 4 of 4

Harvard IACS

CS109B

Pavlos Protopapas, Mark Glickman, and Chris Tanner



















First, we learned about language models (LMs)

P("What is the weather today?")

P("What is the whether two day?")

P("What is the whether too day?")

Being able to correctly estimate the likelihood of sentences is useful for many other tasks

First, we learned about language models (LMs)

Auto-complete P("Mat is in Text Generation Machine Translation Translation What is th Text Classification Speech Recognition ("')

Being able to correctly estimate the likelihood of sentences is useful for many other tasks

TYPE-BASED

a single, global word embedding for each word, independent of its context.



word2vec (skip-gram)

TOKEN-BASED

contextualized embeddings are distinct for every occurrence of a word, completely dependent on its context



Bidirectional LSTM

TYPE-BASED

a single, global word embedding for each word, independent of its context. • These models **output** embeddings we can save to a file and use however we wish



- We then create a **separate model** that uses these embeddings
- Kind of limiting
- Often inferior, as of 2015

word2vec (skip-gram)

- These models are trained on a **specific task** (e.g., LM, text classification, etc)
- The hidden layer(s) contains the *"meaning"* and are very useful
- We can extract those embeddings if we wish, or grab the <u>learned weights</u> and re-use for another task
- Dominating NLP from 2015 present

TOKEN-BASED

contextualized embeddings are distinct for every occurrence of a word, completely dependent on its context



Bidirectional LSTM

- LSTMs are amazing but ultimately only look at 1 word at a time, sequentially
- Sure, they maintain long-term memory, but they are short-sighted in terms of knowing what to hold onto and how to weight each input

TOKEN-BASED

contextualized embeddings are distinct for every occurrence of a word, completely dependent on its context



Bidirectional LSTM

Next, we learned about seq2seq and Attention



Next, we learned about seq2seq and Attention



- Revolutionary idea
- Decoder has access to all input words and appropriately focuses on select parts
- It's conditioned on the current word we're *decoding*







Outline





- Each word in a sequence to be transformed into a rich, abstract **representation** (context embedding) based on the weighted sums of the other words in the same sequence (akin to deep CNN layers)
- Inspired by Attention, we want each word to determine, "how much should I be influenced by each of my neighbors"
- Want positionality

Output representation

Input vectors



Self-Attention's goal is to create great representations, **z**_i, of the input



Self-Attention's goal is to create great representations, z_i, of the input

z₁ will be based on a weighted
contribution of x₁, x₂, x₃, x₄



Self-Attention's goal is to create great representations, z_i, of the input

z₁ will be based on a weighted
contribution of x₁, x₂, x₃, x₄

 a_i^1 is "just" a weight. More is happening under the hood, but it's effectively weighting <u>versions</u> of x₁, x₂, x₃, x₄



Under the hood, each x_i has 3 small, associated vectors. For example, x₁ has:

- Query **q**_i
- Key k_i
- Value v_i

Step 1: Our Self-Attention Head I has just 3 weight matrices W_q, W_k, W_v in total. These same 3 weight matrices are multiplied by each x_i to create all vectors:

 $q_i = w_q x_i$ $k_i = w_k x_i$ $v_i = w_v x_j$



Under the hood, each x_i has 3 small, associated vectors. For example, x_1 has:

- Query **q**₁
- Key **k**₁
- Value **v**₁

Step 2: For word x_1 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i



Step 2: For word x_1 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i



Step 2: For word x_1 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_3 = q_1 \cdot k_3 = 16$ $s_2 = q_1 \cdot k_2 = 96$ $s_1 = q_1 \cdot k_1 = 112$ k₂ v₂ **q**1 $\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{v}_1$ **q**₂ The brown

X₁

X₂

dog ran

X₃

X₄

Step 2: For word x_1 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_4 = q_1 \cdot k_4 = 8$ $s_3 = q_1 \cdot k_3 = 16$ $s_2 = q_1 \cdot k_2 = 96$

 $s_1 = q_1 \cdot k_1 = 112$



Step 3: Our scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 don't sum to 1. Let's divide by $\sqrt{len(k_i)}$ and softmax it

V₄

$s_4 = q_1 \cdot k_4 =$	8 a ₄ =	$\sigma(s_4/8)=0$	
$s_3 = q_1 \cdot k_3 =$	16 a ₃ =	$\sigma(s_3/8) = .01$	
$\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 =$	96 a ₂ =	$\sigma(s_2/8) = .12$	
$\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_1 =$	112 a ₁ =	$\sigma(s_1/8) = .87$	
	v_1	$V_2 \qquad (q_3 k_3 V_3)$	
The	brown	dog	ran
X ₁	X ₂	X 3	X ₄

Step 3: Our scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 don't sum to 1. Let's divide by $\sqrt{len(k_i)}$ and softmax it

$s_4 = q_1 \cdot k_4 = 8$	$a_4 = \sigma(s_4/8) = 0$
---------------------------	---------------------------

 $s_3 = q_1 \cdot k_3 = 16$

 $s_2 = q_1 \cdot k_2 = 96$ $a_2 = \sigma(s_2/3)$

 $s_1 = q_1 \cdot k_1 = 112$

$$a_2 = \sigma(s_2/8) = .12$$

 $a_1 = \sigma(s_1/8) = .87$

 $a_3 = \sigma(s_3/8) = .01$

Instead of these a_i values directly weighting our original x_i word vectors, they directly weight our v_i vectors.



Z₁

Step 4: Let's weight our v_i vectors and simply sum them up!



```
= 0.87 \cdot v_1 + 0.12 \cdot v_2 + 0.01 \cdot v_3 + 0 \cdot v_4
```



 \mathbf{Z}_2

Step 5: We repeat this for all other words, yielding us with great, new z_i representations!

 $z_2 = a_1 \cdot v_1 + a_2 \cdot v_2 + a_3 \cdot v_3 + a_4 \cdot v_4$



Step 5: We repeat this for all other words, yielding us with great, new z_i representations!



Step 5: We repeat this for all other words, yielding us with great, new z_i representations!

 Z_4

 $z_4 = a_1 \cdot v_1 + a_2 \cdot v_2 + a_3 \cdot v_3 + a_4 \cdot v_4$



Let's illustrate another example:



Remember, we use the same 3 weight matrices W_q , W_k , W_v as we did for computing z_1 . This gives us q_2 , k_2 , v_2

Step 1: Our Self-Attention Head I has just 3 weight matrices W_q, W_k, W_v in total. These same 3 weight matrices are multiplied by each x_i to create all vectors:

 $q_i = w_q x_i$ $k_i = w_k x_i$ $v_i = w_v x_j$



Under the hood, each x_i has 3 small, associated vectors. For example, x_1 has:

- Query **q**₁
- Key **k**₁
- Value **v**₁

Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i



Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i



Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 = 22$ $s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$ $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$ \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{v}_2 k₃ v₃ **V**₁ q₃ **q**₁ k₁ k₄ V₄ **q**₄ brown dog The ran **X**₂ **X**₄ **X**₃ **X**₁

Step 2: For word x_2 , let's calculate the scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , which represent how much attention to pay to each respective "word" v_i

 $s_4 = q_2 \cdot k_4 = 8$ $s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 = 22$ $s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$

 $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$



Step 3: Our scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 don't sum to 1. Let's divide by $\sqrt{len(k_i)}$ and softmax it

V4

$s_4 = q_2 \cdot k_4 =$	• 8 a ₄ = a	$\sigma(s_4/8) = 0$	
$s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 =$	= 22 a ₃ = a	$\sigma(s_3/8) = .01$	
$\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 =$	a 124 a ₂ = a	$\sigma(s_2/8) = .91$	
$s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 =$	= 92 a ₁ = a	$\sigma(s_1/8) = .08$	
	$V_1 \qquad \qquad$	$v_2 \qquad v_3 $	
The	brown	dog	ran
X ₁	x ₂	x ₃	X ₄
Self-Attention

Step 3: Our scores s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , s_4 don't sum to 1. Let's divide by $\sqrt{len(k_i)}$ and softmax it

.91

$$s_4 = q_2 \cdot k_4 = 8$$
 $a_4 = \sigma(s_4/8) = 0$

 $s_3 = q_2 \cdot k_3 = 22$ $a_3 = \sigma(s_3/8) = .01$

 $s_1 = q_2 \cdot k_1 = 92$

$$s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2 = 124$$
 $a_2 = \sigma(s_2/8) =$

Instead of these a_i values directly weighting our original x_i word vectors, they directly weight our v_i vectors.



 $a_1 = \sigma(s_1/8) = .08$

Self-Attention

Step 4: Let's weight our v_i vectors and simply sum them up!





Self-Attention

Tada! Now we have great, new representations **z**_i via a self-attention head



Self-At nti Tada! No Z Takeaway:

 $q_1 k_1 v_1$

The

brown

Self-Attention is powerful; allows us to create great, context-aware representations

ran

dog

Self-Attention may seem strikingly like Attention in seq2seq models

$\mathbf{s_4} = \mathbf{h_1^D} * \mathbf{h_4^E}$	$a_4 = \sigma(s_4)$
$\mathbf{s}_3 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_3^E$	$a_3 = \sigma(s_3)$
$\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_2^E$	$a_2 = \sigma(s_2)$
$\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_1^E$	$a_1 = \sigma(s_1)$

Attention



$\mathbf{s_4} = \mathbf{h_1^D} * \mathbf{h_4^E}$	$a_4 = \sigma(s_4)$
$\mathbf{s}_3 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_3^E$	$a_3 = \sigma(s_3)$
$\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_2^E$	$a_2 = \sigma(s_2)$
$\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_1^E$	$a_1 = \sigma(s_1)$

We multiply each encoder's hidden layer by its a_i^1 attention weights to create a context vector c_1^D

Attention



$\mathbf{s_4} = \mathbf{h_1^D} * \mathbf{h_4^E}$	$a_4 = \sigma(s_4)$
$\mathbf{s}_3 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_3^E$	$a_3 = \sigma(s_3)$
$\mathbf{s}_2 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_2^E$	$a_2 = \sigma(s_2)$
$\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{h}_1^D * \mathbf{h}_1^E$	$a_1 = \sigma(s_1)$

We multiply each encoder's hidden layer by its a_i^1 attention weights to create a context vector c_1^D

 $c_1^D = a_1 \cdot h_1^E + a_2 \cdot h_2^E + a_3 \cdot h_3^E + a_4 \cdot h_4^E$

Attention



$s_4 = q_2 \cdot k_4$	$a_4 = \sigma(s_4/8)$
$\mathbf{s}_3 = \mathbf{q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3$	$a_3 = \sigma(s_3/8)$
$s_2 = q_2 \cdot k_2$	$a_2 = \sigma(s_2/8)$
$\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_1$	$a_1 = \sigma(s_1/8)$

We multiply each word's value vector by its a_i^1 attention weights to create a better vector z_1

 $z_1 = \mathbf{a_1} \cdot \mathbf{v_1}^{\mathsf{E}} + \mathbf{a_2} \cdot \mathbf{v_2}^{\mathsf{E}} + \mathbf{a_3} \cdot \mathbf{v_3}^{\mathsf{E}} + \mathbf{a_4} \cdot \mathbf{v_4}^{\mathsf{E}}$

Self-Attention













Let's further pass each \boldsymbol{z}_i through a FFNN

Self-Attention + FFNN



Let's further pass each z_i through a FFNN

Self-Attention + FFNN



Let's further pass each \boldsymbol{z}_i through a FFNN

We concat w/ a residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

We perform LayerNorm to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow.

Self-Attention + FFNN



Let's further pass each \boldsymbol{z}_i through a FFNN

We concat w/ a residual connection to help ensure relevant info is getting forward passed.

We perform LayerNorm to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow.

Each **z**_i can be computed in parallel, unlike LSTMs!



Yay! Our r_i vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a **Transformer Encoder**



Yay! Our r_i vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a **Transformer Encoder**

Problem: there is no concept of <u>positionality</u>. Words are weighted as if a "bag of words"



Yay! Our r_i vectors are our new representations, and this entire process is called a **Transformer Encoder**

Problem: there is no concept of <u>positionality</u>. Words are weighted as if a "bag of words"

Solution: append each input word x_i with a positional encoding: sin(i) cos(i) A Self-Attention Head has just one set of query/key/value weight matrices w_q, w_k, w_v

Words can relate in many ways, so it's restrictive to rely on just one Self-Attention Head in the system.

Let's create Multi-headed Self-Attention



Each Self-Attention Head produces a z_i vector.

We can, in parallel, use multiple heads and concat the z_i's.



To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good contextualized embedding r_i of each word x_i

To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good contextualized embedding r_i of each word x_i





Why stop with just 1 Transformer Encoder? We could stack several!





To recap: all of this looks fancy, but ultimately it's just producing a very good contextualized embedding r_i of each word x_i

Why stop with just 1 Transformer Encoder? We could stack several!

The <u>original Transformer</u> model was intended for Machine Translation, so it had Decoders, too



Transformer Encoders produce <mark>contextualized</mark> embeddings of each word

Transformer Decoders generate new sequences of text



NOTE

Transformer Decoders are identical to the Encoders, except they have an additional Attention Head in between the <u>Self-</u> <u>Attention</u> and <u>FFNN</u> layers.

This additional Attention Head focuses on parts of the encoder's representations.



NOTE

The **query** vector for a Transformer Decoder's Attention Head (not Self-Attention Head) is from the output of the <u>previous</u> <u>decoder layer</u>.

However, the **key** and **value** vectors are from the **Transformer Encoders**' outputs.



NOTE

The query, key, and value vectors for a Transformer Decoder's Self-Attention Head (not Attention Head) are all from the output of the previous decoder layer.



IMPORTANT

The Transformer Decoders have positional embeddings, too, just like the Encoders.

Critically, each position is only allowed to attend to the previous indices. This *masked* Attention preserves it as being an auto-regressive LM.

Loss Function: cross-entropy (predicting translated word)

Training Time: ~4 days on (8) GPUs

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential	Maximum Path Length
		Operations	
Self-Attention	$O(n^2 \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(1)
Recurrent	$O(n \cdot d^2)$	O(n)	O(n)
Convolutional	$O(k\cdot n\cdot d^2)$	O(1)	$O(log_k(n))$
Self-Attention (restricted)	$O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$	O(1)	O(n/r)

Machine Translation results: state-of-the-art (at the time)

Model	BLEU		Training Cost (FLOPs)		
	EN-DE	EN-FR	EN-DE	EN-FR	
ByteNet [18]	23.75				
Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]		39.2		$1.0\cdot10^{20}$	
GNMT + RL [38]	24.6	39.92	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.4\cdot10^{20}$	
ConvS2S [9]	25.16	40.46	$9.6\cdot10^{18}$	$1.5\cdot10^{20}$	
MoE [32]	26.03	40.56	$2.0\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2\cdot 10^{20}$	
Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39]		40.4		$8.0\cdot10^{20}$	
GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]	26.30	41.16	$1.8\cdot 10^{20}$	$1.1\cdot10^{21}$	
ConvS2S Ensemble [9]	26.36	41.29	$7.7\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2\cdot10^{21}$	
Transformer (base model)	27.3	38.1	3.3 ·	$3.3\cdot10^{18}$	
Transformer (big)	28.4	41.8	$2.3\cdot 10^{19}$		

Machine Translation results: state-of-the-art (at the time)

You can <u>train</u> to translate from Language A to Language B.

Then train it to translate from Language B. to Language C.

<u>Then, without training</u>, it can translate from Language A to Language C

• What if we don't want to decode/translate?

Just want to perform a particular task (e.g., classification)

• Want even more robust, flexible, rich representation!

• Want positionality to play a more explicit role, while not being restricted to a particular form (e.g., CNNs)

Outline





Outline








Like Bidirectional LSTMs, let's look in both directions



Let's only use Transformer *Encoders*, no Decoders



It's a language model that builds rich representations







BERT has 2 training objectives:

1. Predict the **Masked word** (a la CBOW)

15% of all input words are randomly masked.

- 80% become [MASK]
- 10% become revert back
- 10% become are deliberately corrupted as wrong words





BERT has 2 training objectives:

2. Two sentences are fed in at a time. Predict the if the <u>second sentence</u> of input truly follows the <u>first</u> one or not.



Every two sentences are separated by a **<SEP>** token.

50% of the time, the 2nd sentence is a randomly selected sentence from the corpus.

50% of the time, it truly follows the first sentence in the corpus.



NOTE: BERT also embeds the inputs by their **WordPiece** embeddings.

WordPiece is a <u>sub-word tokenization</u> learns to merge and use characters based on which pairs maximize the likelihood of the training data if added to the vocab.





82

Most of the time, people use BERT

to fine-tune on a separate task



(a) Sentence Pair Classification Tasks: MNLI, QQP, QNLI, STS-B, MRPC, RTE, SWAG



(b) Single Sentence Classification Tasks: SST-2, CoLA

Most of the time, people use BERT

to fine-tune on a separate task



(c) Question Answering Tasks: SQuAD v1.1



(d) Single Sentence Tagging Tasks: CoNLL-2003 NER



One could also extract the contextualized embeddings



Picture: https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-bert/

Later layers have the best contextualized embeddings

Dev F1 Score

12	First Layer Em	nbedding	91.0
•••	Last Hidden Layer	12	94.9
	Sum All 12 Layers		95.5
3	Second-to-Last Hidden Layer	11	95.6
	Sum Last Four Hidden		95.9
Help	Concat Last Four Hidden	9 10 11 12	96.1

Picture: https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-bert/



BERT yields <u>state-of-the-art</u> (SOTA) results on many tasks

System	MNLI-(m/mm)	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	CoLA	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	Average
	392k	363k	108k	67k	8.5k	5.7k	3.5k	2.5k	-
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.8	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	87.4	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.1
BERTBASE	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.5	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERTLARGE	86.7/85.9	72.1	92.7	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	82.1

Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the evaluation server (https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard).

Takeaway BERT is incredible for learning contextualized embeddings of words and using transfer learning for other tasks (e.g., classification).

Can't generate new sentences though, due to no decoders.

The brown dog ran

Outline





Outline





What if we want to generate a new output sequence?

GPT-2 model to the rescue!

Generative Pre-trained Transformer 2

- GPT-2 uses only Transformer Decoders (no Encoders) to generate new sequences (from scratch or from a starting sequence)
- Oddly, there is no Attention (since there is no Transformer
 Encoder to attend to). So, there is only Self-Attention.
- As it processes each word/token, it cleverly **masks** the "future" words and conditions itself on the previous words

As it processes each word/token, it cleverly masks the "future" words and conditions itself on the previous words



As it processes each word/token, it cleverly masks the "future" words and conditions itself on the previous words



- Technically, it doesn't use words as input but Byte Pair Encodings (sub-words), similar to BERT's WordPieces.
- Includes positional embeddings as part of the input, too.
- Easy to fine-tune on your own dataset (language)

GPT-2 Results

Easy to fine-tune on your own dataset (language)

SYSTEM PROMPT (HUMAN-WRITTEN)

A train carriage containing controlled nuclear materials was stolen in Cincinnati today. Its whereabouts are unknown.

MODEL COMPLETION (MACHINE-WRITTEN, FIRST TRY)

The incident occurred on the downtown train line, which runs from Covington and Ashland stations.

In an email to Ohio news outlets, the U.S. Department of Energy said it is working with the Federal Railroad Administration to find the thief.

"The theft of this nuclear material will have significant negative consequences on public and environmental health, our workforce and the economy of our nation," said Tom Hicks, the U.S. Energy Secretary, in a statement. "Our top priority is to secure the theft and ensure it doesn't happen again." The stolen material was taken from the University of Cincinnati's Research Triangle Park nuclear research site, according to a news release from Department officials. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission did not immediately release any information. _

_

Question	Generated Answer	Correct	Probability
Who wrote the book the origin of species?	Charles Darwin	1	83.4%
Who is the founder of the ubuntu project?	Mark Shuttleworth	1	82.0%
Who is the quarterback for the green bay packers?	Aaron Rodgers	1	81.1%
Panda is a national animal of which country?	China	1	76.8%
Who came up with the theory of relativity?	Albert Einstein	1	76.4%
When was the first star wars film released?	1977	1	71.4%
What is the most common blood type in sweden?	Α	×	70.6%
Who is regarded as the founder of psychoanalysis?	Sigmund Freud	1	69.3%
Who took the first steps on the moon in 1969?	Neil Armstrong	1	66.8%
Who is the largest supermarket chain in the uk?	Tesco	1	65.3%
What is the meaning of shalom in english?	peace	1	64.0%
Who was the author of the art of war?	Sun Tzu	1	59.6%
Largest state in the us by land mass?	California	×	59.2%
Green algae is an example of which type of reproduction?	parthenogenesis	×	56.5%
Vikram samvat calender is official in which country?	India	1	55.6%
Who is mostly responsible for writing the declaration of independence?	Thomas Jefferson	1	53.3%

	LAMBADA	LAMBADA	CBT-CN	CBT-NE	WikiText2	PTB	enwik8	text8	WikiText103
	(PPL)	(ACC)	(ACC)	(ACC)	(PPL)	(PPL)	(BPB)	(BPC)	(PPL)
SOTA	99.8	59.23	85.7	82.3	39.14	46.54	0.99	1.08	18.3
117M	35.13	45.99	87.65	83.4	29.41	65.85	1.16	1.17	37.50
345M	15.60	55.48	92.35	87.1	22.76	47.33	1.01	1.06	26.37
762M	10.87	60.12	93.45	88.0	19.93	40.31	0.97	1.02	22.05
1542M	8.63	63.24	93.30	89.05	18.34	35.76	0.93	0.98	17.48

Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners

Table 3. Zero-shot results on many datasets. No training or fine-tuning was performed for any of these results. PTB and results are from (Gong et al., 2018). CBT results are from (Bajgar et al., 2016). LAMBADA accuracy result is from (Hoang and LAMBADA perplexity result is from (Grave et al., 2016). Other results are from (Dai et al., 2019).



Outline











BERT (a Transformer variant)

BERT is trained on a lot of text data:

- BooksCorpus (800M words)
- English Wikipedia (2.5B words)

Yay, for transfer learning!

BERT-Base model has 12 transformer blocks, 12 attention heads, 110M parameters!

BERT-Large model has 24 transformer blocks, 16 attention heads, 340M parameters!

GPT-2 is:

- trained on 40GB of text data (8M webpages)!
- 1.5B parameters

GPT-3 is an even bigger version (175B parameters) of GPT-2, but isn't open-source

Yay, for transfer learning!

There are several issues to be aware of:

- It is very <u>costly</u> to train these large models. The companies who develop these models easily spend an entire month training one model, which uses incredible amounts of electricity.
- BERT alone is estimated to cost over <mark>\$1M</mark> for their final models
 - \$2.5k \$50k (110 million parameter model)
 - \$10k \$200k (340 million parameter model)
 - \$80k \$1.6m (1.5 billion parameter model)

It is very <u>costly</u> to train these large models.



Concerns

- Further, these very large language models have been shown to be biased (e.g., in terms of gender, race, sex, etc).
- Converting from one language to another often converts gender <u>neutral pronouns to sexist stereotypes</u>
- Using these powerful LMs comes with risks of producing such text and/or evaluating/predicting tasks based on these biased assumptions.
- People are researching how to improve this

Concerns

- As computer-generated text starts to become indistinguishable from authentic, human-generated text, consider the ethical impact of fraudulently claiming text to be from a particular author.
- If used maliciously, it can easily contribute toward the problem of Fake News
















- There has been significant NLP progress in the past few years.
- Some of the complex models are incredible, but rely on having a lot of data and computational resources (e.g., Transformers)
- With all data science and machine learning, it's best to understand your data and task very well, clean your data, and start with a simple model (instead of jumping to the most complex model)



- NLP is incredibly fun, with infinite number of problems to work on
- I'll teach an NLP course next year (most likely Spring 2022).

Some definitions to remember

Models

- N-gram: count statistics; elementary sequence modelling
- FFNN: fixed-length context window; not ideal for sequential modelling
- (Vanilla) RNN: uses context; fair sequence modelling
- LSTM: a variant of an RNN that handles long-range context better
- Seq2Seq: maps 1 sequence to another ($n \rightarrow m$ sequences)
- Attention: determines which elements in sequence A pertain to sequence B
- Self-Attention: determines which elements to focus on in its own sequence A
- Transformers: learns excellent representation, via a seq2seq framework with self-attention and attention
 - BERT: Transformer <u>Encoders</u> that learn great representations and can be fine-tuned on other tasks
 - GPT-2: Transformer <u>Decoders</u> that generate realistic text and can be fine-tuned on other tasks

QUESTIONS?

BACKUP SLIDES

Transformer vs CNN vs RNN



Source: https://d2l.ai/chapter_attention-mechanisms/self-attention-and-positional-encoding.html#subsec-cnn-rnn-self-attention