Advanced Section: Variational Inference

CS109B Data Science 2 Pavlos Protopapas, Mark Glickman and Chris Tanner



1

Outline

- Bayesian Inference
- 2. Markov Chain Monte Carlo
- 3. Variational Inference
- 4. Bayesian Neural Networks
- 5. Bayes by backprop and flipout
- 6. Mean Field Variational Bayes
- 7. Application to Neural Networks
- 8. Drop Out as a Bayesian Approximation
- 9. Bootstrap for Inference
- 10. Measure performance of methods on uncertainty quantification





Statistical Inference

Population

Infer properties of a population by using data analysis on a sample







Inference in Machine Learning





CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER



4

Inference in Machine Learning





CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER



5

Statistical Inference with Quantified Uncertainty







Your house Columbus Circle



Route 1 $25 \min \pm 9 \min$

 $29 \min \pm 2 \min$ Route 2

Uncertainties are important to make informed decisions



Frequentist approach (Bootstrapping)

Bayesian Inference (Includes prior knowledge)





Bayesian Inference

Probability as a measure of *believability in an event*







7

CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER

Bayesian Inference



"When the facts change, I change my mind. What do you do, sir?" John Maynard Keynes







MCMC is eventually accurate, but not scalable to large models



the true posterior distribution... eventually





Approximate Bayesian Inference: Variational Inference

Optimization approach -> Q a family of "nice" distributions

$p(\theta \mid y) = \frac{p(y \mid \theta) p(\theta)}{\int p(y, \theta) d\theta}$







Approximate Bayesian Inference: Variational Inference

$$p(\theta | y) = \frac{p(y | \theta) p(\theta)}{\int p(y, \theta) d\theta} = p(y) \longleftarrow \text{ eviden}$$

$$p(y) = \iint \int p(y | \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots, \theta_m) d\theta_1 d\theta_1$$

approximation $p(\theta | y) \approx q^*(\theta) \longleftarrow$ tractable family of distributions

 $q^*(\theta) = argmin_{q \in Q} KL(q(\cdot)) | | p(\cdot | y)$









Approximate Bayesian Inference: Variational Inference

$$q^{*}(\theta) = argmin_{q \in Q}KL(q(\cdot)) || p(\cdot |y)$$

$$\theta^{*} = argmin_{\theta}KL[(q(\omega | \theta)) || p(\omega | y)]$$

$$KL(q(\cdot)) || p(\cdot | y) := \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta | y)} d\theta$$

$$p(\omega | y) = \frac{p(y | \omega)p(\omega)}{p(y)}$$

$$\theta^{*} = argmin_{\theta} \int (q(\omega | \theta)) \log \frac{q(\omega | \theta)p(y)}{p(\omega)p(y | \phi)}$$

$$= argmin_{\theta} \int q(\omega | \theta) \log \frac{q(\omega | \theta)}{p(\omega)p(y | \omega)}$$

$$\theta^{*} = argmin_{\theta} [KL[q(\omega | \theta)) || p(\omega)] - \mathbb{E}_{q}$$

$$\mathscr{L}(y | \theta) = KL[q(\omega | \theta)) || p(\omega)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega)}$$
Prior





 $q(\omega|\theta)[\log p(y|\omega)]$ — Loss function Likelihood CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER



Approximate Bayesian Inference: Bayes by Backprop

 $\mathscr{L}(y \mid \theta) = KL[q(\omega \mid \theta)) \mid [p(\omega)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega \mid \theta)}[\log p(y \mid \omega)]$ Prior

 $q(\theta) = N(\mu, \sigma)$ ω are samples of this distribution $\omega = \mu + \sigma \odot \epsilon$, $q(\epsilon)$, $q(\epsilon)d\epsilon = q(\omega | \theta)d\omega$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[f(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial f(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \omega} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \Big] \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta(\mu,\sigma))] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta(\mu,\sigma))] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathscr{L}(y|\theta) \longrightarrow \nabla_{\mu} \mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta) , \nabla_{\sigma} \mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta) \Big] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta(\mu,\sigma))] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] = \mathbb{E}_{q(\varepsilon)} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}_{q(\omega|\theta)}[\mathscr{L}(\omega,\theta)] \Big]$$



Likelihood

Loss function Back propagate $\nabla_{\theta} \mathscr{L}(y \mid \theta)$

Weight Uncertainty in Neural Networks, Blundell et al. 1015(https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.05424.pdf)





Approximate Bayesian Inference: Flipout

The problem is that to make this computationally possible, we sample a single ϵ per mini-batch and, therefore, sharing the same weight perturbation.

This introduces correlations between the gradients of each mini-batch

Flipout decorrelates them by simply adding a flip-the-coin effect:

 $q(\epsilon) \longrightarrow \epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{flip the coin}} + \text{or} - \longrightarrow \pm \epsilon \longrightarrow \omega = \mu \pm \sigma \odot \epsilon$

For more details see Flipout: Efficient Pseudo-Independent Weight Perturbations on Mini-Batches Wen et al. (<u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.04386.pdf</u>)



$$\omega = \mu + \sigma \, \mathbb{O}(\epsilon)$$



Approximate Bayesian Inference: Variational Bayes

 $p(\theta | y) = \frac{p(y | \theta) p(\theta)}{\int p(y, \theta) d\theta}$

 $q^*(\theta) = argmin_{q \in Q} KL(q(\cdot)) | |p(\cdot|y)|$ Kullback-Leibler divergence: $KL(q(\cdot)) | | p(\cdot | y) := \left| q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta | y)} d\theta \right|$

one can prove (check Bishop)







Approximate Bayesian Infere

$$q^* = argmax_{q \in Q} \qquad q(\theta)\log\frac{p(\theta, y)}{q(\theta)}d\theta$$
ELBO = $\int q(\theta)\log\frac{p(\theta, y)}{q(\theta)}d\theta = \int q(\theta)\log p$
 $\stackrel{i}{=} \prod_{i} q_{i}(\theta_{i})\log\frac{p(\theta, y)}{\prod_{i} q_{i}(\theta_{i})}d\theta = \int \prod_{i} q_{i}(\theta_{i})d\theta$
 $\stackrel{i}{=} q_{j}(\theta_{j})[\int \log p(\theta, y)\prod_{i \neq j} q_{i}(\theta_{i})d\theta_{i}]d\theta_{j} - \int q_{j}(\theta_{j})d\theta$
 $= \int q_{j}(\theta_{j})\log \tilde{p}(\theta_{j}, y)d\theta_{j} - \int q_{j}(\theta_{j})\log q_{j}(\theta_{j})d\theta$



nce: Mean Field Variational Bayes LBO We assume q $q(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i(\theta_i) \quad \longleftarrow$ factorizes with respect to θs $p(\theta, y)d\theta - \left[q(\theta)\log q(\theta)d\theta\right]$ $\left[\log p(\theta, y) - \sum \log q_i(\theta_i)\right] d\theta$ $\prod q_i(\theta_i) \log q_i(\theta_i) d\theta_i$ $q_i(\theta_i)d\theta_i$ $d\theta_i$ $q_j(\theta_j) \log q_j(\theta_j) \Big[$

 θ_i + const.

 $(\theta_j)) | | \tilde{p}(\theta_j, y)) + \text{const.}$









Approximate Bayesian Inference: Mean Field Variational Bayes

Optimize for q_i : $\text{ELBO} = -KL(q_j(\theta_j)) || \tilde{p}(\theta_j, y)) + \text{const.} = -\int q_j(\theta_j) \log \frac{q_j(\theta_j)}{\tilde{p}(\theta_j, y_j)} d\theta_j + \text{const.}$ Maximizing the ELBO is equivalent to minimizing KL: $q^*_{j} = argmin_{q(\theta_j)} KL(q_j(\theta_j)) | |\tilde{p}(\theta_j, y)) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad q_j(\theta_j) = \tilde{p}(\theta_j, y)$ $\log q *_{j}(\theta_{j}) = \log \tilde{p}(\theta_{j}, y_{j}) = \mathbb{E}_{i \neq j}[\log p(\theta, y)] + \text{const.}$ $q^*{}_{j}(\theta_j) = \frac{\exp(\mathbb{E}_{i \neq j}[\log p(\theta, y)])}{\int \exp(\mathbb{E}_{i \neq j}[\log p(\theta, y)])d\theta_i}$

> until ELBO has converged for $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ do $q_i(\theta_i) \propto \exp\{\mathbb{E}_{i\neq i}[\log(p(\theta_i \mid \theta_{i\neq i}, y))]\}$ compute ELBO(q)





This represents the conditions for the maximum of the ELBO, given the factorization assumption. The problem is that the expression for $q *_j(\theta_j)$ depends on expectations of all other $q_i(\theta_i)$. We need to iterate through them. Convergence is guaranteed because ELBO is convex with respect to each factor $q_i(\theta_i)$

- Coordinate descent
- Stochastic variational inference (SVI) [Hoffman et al 2013]
- Automatic differentiation variational inference (ADVI) [Kucukelbir et al 2015, 2017]







Approximate Bayesian Inference: Mean Field Variational Bayes

Green: 1, 2, and 3 standard deviations for a correlated Gaussian distribution



Blue: Bimodal distribution given by a mixture of two Gaussians





Red:

Same levels of an approximate distribution given by the product of two independent univariate Gaussians obtained by:

a) minimizing KL(q | | p) divergence

b) minimizing KL(p | | q) divergence

Red:

- a) Best approximation by a single Gaussian by minimizing the KL divergence
- b) same as (a) but numerically minimizing KL divergence
- same as in (b) but another local C) minimum of the KL divergence













So far

- 1. Bayesian Inference 🗸
- 2. Markov Chain Monte Carlo 🗸
- 3. Variational Inference ✓
- 4. Bayesian Neural Networks 🗸
- 5. Bayes by backprop and flipout 🗸
- 6. Mean Field Variational Bayes 🗸
- 7. Application to Neural Networks
- 8. Drop Out as a Bayesian Approximation
- 9. Bootstrap for Inference
- 10. Measure performance of methods on uncertainty quantification





Bayesian Inference for Neural Networks







Bayesian Inference for Neural Networks







Bayesian Inference for Neural Networks







Bayesian Neural Networks







23

Bayesian Neural Networks







$p(\theta) \times p(y | \theta) \propto p(\theta | y)$



Approximate Bayesian Inference: Variational Bayes



CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER

 $p(\theta | y) = \frac{p(y | \theta) p(\theta)}{\int p(y, \theta) d\theta} \approx q^*$

Optimization approach \rightarrow Q a family of "nice" distributions





Bayesian Neural Network









CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER

27



CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER

28

Bayesian Neural Network: MFVB









Variational Bayesian Inference: The problem







Variational Bayesian Inference: The right solution (MCMC)







Variational Bayesian Inference















Dropout

Yarin Gal Zoubin Ghahramani University of Cambridge



They show that a NN with arbitrary depth and non-linearities, with dropout applied before every weight layer, is mathematically equivalent to an approximation to the probabilistic deep Gaussian process.



CS109B, PROTOPAPAS, GLICKMAN AND TANNER

arXiv:1506.02142

Dropout as a Bayesian Approximation: Representing Model Uncertainty in Deep Learning

yg279@cam.ac.uk ZG201@CAM.AC.UK





























Radu Raicea

Radu Raicea

Radu Raicea

Radu Raicea

Radu Raicea

Dropout

MCMC

Dropout

Comparing Models of Uncertainty Quantification: Reading material

Quality of Uncertainty Quantification for Bayesian Neural Network Inference

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.09686.pdf

"Frequently in literature, high test log likelihood is used as evidence that the inference procedure has more faithfully captured the true posterior. However, here we argue that while test log likelihood may be a good criteria for model selection, it is not a reliable criteria for determining how well an approximate posterior aligns with the true posterior."

They compare 10 commonly used approximate inference procedures for Bayesian NNs. They find that approximate Bayesian inference methods typically do not capture true posteriors, and that non-Bayesian methods often do not capture the desired predictive uncertainty.

We need more careful metrics for evaluating the performance of methods on uncertainty quantification

Jiayu Yao^{*1} Weiwei Pan^{*1} Soumya Ghosh² Finale Doshi-Velez¹

The End

Questions?

