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## Information Theory

## Information Theory

How much information can be communicated between any two components of any system ?

QUESTION : Assume you have N forks (left or right) on road. An oracle tells you which paths you take to reach a final destination. How many prompts do you need ?

SHANNON INFORMATION (SI) : Consider a coin which lands heads $90 \%$ times. What is the surprise when you see its outcome?

SI Quantifies surprise of information - $S I=-\log _{2} p\left(x_{h}\right)$

## Entropy

Assume I transmit 1000 bits ( 0 s and 1s) of information from A to B . What is the quantum of information that has been transmitted?

- When all the bits are known? (0 shannons)
- When each bit is i.i.d. and equally distributed $(\mathrm{P}(0)=\mathrm{P}(1)=0.5)$ i.e. all messages are equi-probable? (1000 shannons)
- Entropy defines a general uncertainty measure over this information. When is it maximized?

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X)=-\mathbb{E}_{X} \log p(x)=-\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x) \quad \text { or } \quad-\int_{x} p(x) \log p(x) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

EXERCISE : Calculate entropy of a dice roll.
REMEMBER THIS ? $-p(x) \log p(x)-(1-p(x)) \log p(x)$

## Joint and Conditional Entropy

- Joint Entropy - Entropy of joint distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{j o i n t}(X, Y)=-\mathbb{E}_{X, Y} \log p(X, Y)=-\sum_{x, y} p(x, y) \log p(x, y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Conditional Entropy - Conditional Uncertainty of X given Y

$$
\begin{align*}
H(X \mid Y) & =-\mathbb{E}_{Y} H(X \mid Y=y) \\
& =-\sum_{y} p(y) \sum_{x} p(x \mid y) \log p(x \mid y) \\
& =-\sum_{x, y} p(x, y) \log p(x \mid y)  \tag{3}\\
H(X \mid Y) & =H(X, Y)-H(Y)
\end{align*}
$$

## Mutual Information

Pointwise Mutual Information - Between two events, the discrepancy between joint likelihood and independent joint likelihood

$$
\begin{equation*}
p m i(x, y)=\log \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x) p(y)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mutual Information - Expected amount of information that can be obtained about one random variable by observing another.

$$
\begin{align*}
I(X ; Y) & =\mathbb{E}_{x, y} \text { pmi }(x, y)=\mathbb{E}_{x, y} \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x) p(y)} \\
I(X ; Y) & =I(Y ; X) \quad(\text { symmetric })  \tag{5}\\
& =H(X)-H(X \mid Y)=H(Y)-H(Y \mid X) \\
& =H(X)+H(Y)-H(X, Y)
\end{align*}
$$

## Cross Entropy

Average number of bits needed to identify an event drawn from $p$ when a coding scheme used is for optimizing a different distribution $q$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p, q)=\mathbb{E}_{p}-\log (q)=\sum_{x}-p(x) \log q(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example: Take any code over which you communicate a equiprobable number between 1 and 8 (true). But your receiver uses a different code scheme and hence needs a longer message length to get the message.

REMEMBER ? $y \log \hat{y}+(1-y) \log (1-\hat{y})$

## Understanding cross entropy

- Game 1: 4 coins of different color each(blue, yellow, red, green) probability each 0.25 . Ask me yes/no questions to figure out the answer.
- Q1: Is it green or blue?
- Q2 : Yes : Is it green? No : Is it red ?
- Expected number of questions $2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{P})$
- Game 2: 4 coins of different color each - probability each [0.5 -blue, 0.125 -red, 0.125 -green, 0.25 -yellow]. Ask me yes/no questions to figure out the answer.
- Q1: Is it blue?
- Q2 : Yes : over, No : Is it red ?
- Q3 : Yes : over, No : Is it yellow?
- Expected number of questions 1.75. H(Q)
- Game 3: Use strategy used in game 1 on game 2 and the expected number of questions is $2>1.75 . \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P})$


## KL Divergence

Measure of Discrepancy between two probability distributions.

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{K L}(p(X) \| q(X)) & =-\mathbb{E}_{P} \log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)} \\
& =-\sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \quad \text { or } \quad-\int_{x} p(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} d x \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{K L}(P \| Q)=H(P, Q)-H(P) \geq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remember entropy of P quantifies the least possible message length for encoding information from P .

KL - Extra message-length per datum that must be communicated if a code that is optimal for a given (wrong) distribution Q is used, compared to using a code based on the true distribution P .

## Variational Inference

## Latent Variable Inference

- Latent Variables - Random variables which are not observed.
- Example - Data of Children's score on an exam - Latent Variable : Intelligence of a child
- Example


Figure 1: Mixture of cluster centers

- Break down :

$$
p(x, z)=\underbrace{p(z)}_{\text {latent }} p(x \mid z)=p(z \mid x) p(x) ; \quad p(x)=\int_{z} p(x, z) d z
$$

## Latent Variable Inference

- Assuming a prior on z since it is under our control.
- INFERENCE : Learn posterior of the latent distribution $p(z \mid x)$. How does our belief about the latent variable change after observing data?

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z \mid x)=\frac{p(x \mid z) p(z)}{p(x)}=\frac{p(x \mid z) p(z)}{\underbrace{\sum_{z} p(x \mid z) p(z)}_{\text {Could be intractable }}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Variational Inference - Central Idea

Minimize $K L(q(z) \| p(z \mid x))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{*}(\mathbf{z})=\arg \min _{q \sim \mathcal{Q}} \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}) \| p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x})) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}) \| p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x})) & =\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} \log q(\mathbf{z})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} \log p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}) \\
& =\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} \log q(\mathbf{z})-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} \log p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})}_{(\mathrm{a})--1^{*} \operatorname{ELBO}}+\underbrace{\log p(\mathbf{x})}_{(\mathrm{b})} \\
& =-\operatorname{ELBO}(q)+\underbrace{\log p(\mathbf{x})}_{\text {Does not depend on z }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Idea

Minimizing $K L(q(z) \| p(z \mid x))=$ Maximizing ELBO!

## ELBO

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ELBO}(p, q) & =\mathbb{E}_{q} \log p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})-\mathbb{E}_{q} \log q(\mathbf{z}) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{q} \log p(\mathbf{z})+\mathbb{E}_{q} \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})-\mathbb{E}_{q} \log q(\mathbf{z})  \tag{12}\\
& =\mathbb{E}_{q} \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})-\operatorname{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}) \| p(\mathbf{z}))
\end{align*}
$$

## Idea

$\mathbb{E}_{q} \log p(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})-\mathbb{E}_{q} \log q(\boldsymbol{z})$ - Energy encourages $q$ to focus probability mass where the joint mass is, $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$. The entropy encourages $q$ to spread probability mass and avoid concentration to one location.

## Idea

ELBO Term $\mathbb{E}_{q} \log p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z})-K L(q(\boldsymbol{z}) \| p(\boldsymbol{z})$ - Conditional Likelihood Term and KL Term. Trade-off between maximizing the conditional likelihood and not deviating from the true latent distribution (prior).

## Variational Parameters

- Parametrize $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{z})$ using variational parameters $\lambda-q(z ; \lambda)$
- Learn variational parameters during training (using some gradient based optimization for example)
- Example - $q(z ; \lambda=[\mu, \sigma]) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. Here $\mu, \sigma$ are variational parameters $\lambda=[\mu, \sigma]$.
- $E L B O(\lambda)=\mathbb{E}_{q(z ; \lambda)} \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})-\operatorname{KL}(q(\mathbf{z} ; \lambda) \| p(\mathbf{z}))$
- Gradients :
$\nabla_{\lambda} E L B O(\lambda)=\nabla_{\lambda}\left[\mathbb{E}_{q(z ; \lambda)} \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})-\operatorname{KL}(q(\mathbf{z} ; \lambda)| | p(\mathbf{z}))\right]$
- Not directly differentiable via backpropagation : WHY ?


## VI Gradients and Reparametrization



Figure 2: Reparametrization Trick : $z=\mu+\sigma * \epsilon ; \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

- Gradients : $\nabla_{\lambda} E L B O(\lambda)=\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\left[\nabla_{\lambda}[\log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})-\operatorname{KL}(q(\mathbf{z} ; \lambda) \| p(\mathbf{z}))]\right]$
- Disadvantage : Not flexible for any black box distribution.


## VI Gradients and Score Function a.k.a REINFORCE

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\lambda} E L B O(\lambda) & =\nabla_{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(z ; \lambda)}\left[-\log q_{\lambda}(z)+\log p(z)+\log p(x \mid z)\right] \\
& =\int_{z} \nabla_{\lambda} q_{\lambda}(z)\left[-\log q_{\lambda}(z)+\log p(z)+\log p(x \mid z)\right] d z \\
& \operatorname{Use} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(q_{\lambda}(z)\right)=q_{\lambda}(z) \log q_{\lambda}(z) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{q(z ; \lambda)}\left[\left(\nabla_{\lambda} q_{\lambda}(z)\right) \cdot\left(-\log q_{\lambda}(z)+\log p(z)+\log p(x \mid z)\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

- Only need ability to take derivative of $q$ with respect to $\lambda$.
- Works for any black box variational family.
- Use MC sampling to update parameters in each step and take empirical mean.


## Mean Field Variational Inference

- Mean Field Approximation - A simplifying approximation for the variational distribution.
- Assumes all the variational components are independent of each other.
- Then, mean field assumption assumes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z \mid X) \approx q(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} q_{i}\left(z_{i}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mean Field VI - GMM



Figure 3: 1-D GMM with three cluster centers

Generative Model : For each datapoint $x^{(i)}$ where $\mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{N}$

- Sample a cluster assignment i.e. the membership of a given point to a mixture component $c^{(i)}$ uniformly. $c^{(i)} \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(K)$
- Sample its value from the correpsonding component:

$$
x^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{c^{(i)}}, 1\right)
$$

## Mean Field VI - GMM

To reiterate, the full parametrization of the model could be written as

- $\mu_{j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) \forall j=1,2 \ldots K$ - totally $\mathrm{K}(3)$ cluster centers. Known variance $\sigma$ - Not learning them.
- $c_{i} \sim \mathcal{U}(K) \forall i=1,2 \ldots N$ - one cluster assignment for each point.
- $x_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(c_{i}^{T} \mu, 1\right) \forall i=1,2 \ldots . N$ - each datapoint comes from a Gaussian whose mean is a mixture of the cluster centers with a known variance.
- PROBLEM : You are provided $\mathrm{X}\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$. You need to eventually learn $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$ using latent variables $\mu, \mathbf{c}$ which you don't observe. You don't know any of the information that you see above in real life.


## Mean Field Approximations

- Mean Field Definition : $q(z)=\prod_{j} q_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)$.
- Latent variables in this case :
$q(\mu, c)=q\left(\mu ; m, s^{2}\right)=\prod_{j} q\left(\mu_{j} ; m_{j}, s_{j}^{2}\right) \times \prod_{i} q\left(c_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)$
- $\mu_{j} ; m_{j}, s_{j}^{2} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(m_{j}, s_{j}^{2}\right)$
- $c_{i} ; \phi_{i} \sim \operatorname{MultiNomial}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$
- Thus, $\phi_{i}$ is a vector of probabilities such that $p\left(c_{i}=j\right)=\phi_{i j}$ such that $\sum_{j} \phi_{i j}=1$. Learns the likelihood of each point belonging to one cluster center.


## Mean Field VI for GMM - A sketch

- Use $\left.E L B O(\lambda)=\mathbb{E}_{q(z ; \lambda}\right) \log p(x, z)+H(q ; \lambda)$
- Calculate $\log p(x, c, \mu)=\log p(\mu) \log p(c) \log p(x \mid c, \mu)$ based on our mean field approximations.
- Calculate the entropy term.

$$
\log q(c, \mu)=\log q(c)+\log q(\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log q\left(c_{i} ; \phi_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{K} \log q\left(\mu_{j} ; m_{j}, s_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

- Final ELBO is an expectation over sum of both these terms i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
E L B O \propto \sum_{j}-\mathbb{E}_{q} \frac{\mu_{j}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[C_{i j}\right] \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[\frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu_{j}\right)^{2}}{2}\right]- \\
\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[\log \phi_{i j}\right]+\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} \log \left(s_{j}^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Parameter Updates and CAVI

- Gradient Update $\phi_{i j}$ using $\frac{\partial E L B O}{\partial \phi_{i j}}$
- Gradient update $m_{j}$ using $\frac{\partial E L B O}{\partial m_{j}}$
- Gradient Update $s_{j}^{2}$ using $\frac{\partial E L B O}{\partial s_{j}^{2}}$
- Remember we are doing Coordinate Ascent here (Maximization Problem).


## Coordinate Ascent

(1) Choose initial parameter vector x. Repeat steps 2 to 4 .
(2) Choose an index i from 1 to n.
(3) Choose a step size $\alpha$.
(1) Update $x_{i}$ to $x_{i}+\alpha \frac{\partial F(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}}$


## Variational Autoencoders

## Generative Models

- Learns the generative form of the data distribution - $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})$
- Remember AutoEncoders learned in class.
- Why latent variable models are needed ?
- What are the latent variables expected to learn ? Eg: MNIST
- Remember $p(x)=\int_{z} p(x, z ; \theta) p(z ; \theta) d z$. $\theta$ can be any parametric form - could be a neural network.


## VAEs

- Define $p(z)=\mathcal{N}(0, I)$
- Transform a simple $p(z)$ into a complicated $p(x)$


Figure 5: Given a random variable Z with one distribution (on the left standard bivariate Gaussian), we can always create another random variable $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Z})$ with an entirely different distribution through appropriate functional transformation(on the right. $g(z) \rightarrow z / 10+z /\|z\|$.

## VAEs

## Where is the Autoencoder?



Figure 6: Graphical Model of VAE

Need to infer the posterior after observing data.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z \mid x)=\frac{p(x \mid z) p(z)}{\underbrace{\int_{z} p(x \mid z ; \theta) p(z) d z}_{\text {Intractable }}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## VAEs

Assume variational approximation for $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})$. We have got our encoder decoder setup back. $q$ is the encoder and $p$ is the decoder.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta, \lambda)=D_{K L}(\underbrace{q(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x} ; \lambda)}_{\text {decoder }} \| p(\mathbf{z}))-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} \log \underbrace{p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} ; \theta)}_{\text {encoder }} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



We'd like to use our observations to understand the hidden variable.


Neural network mapping x to z .

Neural network mapping $z$ to $x$.

Figure 7: VAE in a nutshell

## VAEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta, \lambda)=D_{K L}(\underbrace{q(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x} ; \lambda)}_{\text {decoder }} \| p(\mathbf{z}))-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q} \log \underbrace{p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} ; \theta)}_{\text {encoder }} \\
& D_{K L}\left((\mathcal{N}(\mu(X), \Sigma(X)) \| \mathcal{N}(0, I))=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma(X))+(\mu(X))^{T}(\mu(X))-k\right.\right. \\
& \quad-\log \operatorname{det}(\Sigma(X))) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

What about the reconstruction term?

## VAE Reconstruction - Training



Figure 8: Training of VAE with Gaussian Variational Family

## Reparametrization



Figure 9: Reparametrization(Right)

## VAE - Visualization

Only reconstruction loss


Only KL divergence


Combination


Figure 10: Contributions of reconstruction and KL

## VAE - Visualization

Penalizing reconstruction loss encourages the distribution to describe the input


Without regularization, our network can "cheat" by learning narrow distributions

Penalizing KL divergence acts as a regularizing force

## VAE-Results

| 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| 8 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 9 |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| 9 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| 1 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 7 |



Figure 12: Left: MNIST generative results from VAE. Right : Latent code interpolation - Results generated from sampling latent codes and interpolating between those two codes.

## Music-VAE (Google, 2018)

https://youtu.be/G5JT16flZwM

## Conditional VAE



## Conditional VAE


(a) CVAE

(b) Regressor

(c) Ground Truth

Figure 14: A Conditional VAE. Image Completion - The inputs(incomplete image) to CVAE are the pixels in the middle column shown in the images in blue.

## Bayesian Neural Networks

QUESTION : How do you learn uncertainty of what your deep network learns?

IDEA : Have a prior over weights and do MAP inference.

- Confidence of your predictions.
- Richer and regularized representation of weights since you control the prior
- Model Averaging (since the lilely prediction of y is the expected value of distribution over functions)


## How does it look like?



Figure 15: Left : Fit via BBB. Right:Fit via Neural Nets. Red indicates the median prediction. Blue boundaries indicate quartile ranges. Look how BBB is less confident in out of distribution regions and more confident around evidence.Credits

## How do you do it?

$$
\begin{align*}
p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) & \propto \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1: n} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1: n}, ; \mathbf{w}\right) * p(\mathbf{w}) \\
\mathbf{w}^{*} & =\arg \max _{\mathbf{w}} \underbrace{}_{\text {As usual, intractable }} \underbrace{P(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta^{*} & =\arg \min _{\theta} D_{K L}(q(\mathbf{w} ; \theta) \| p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathcal{D})) \\
& =\arg \min _{\theta} \underbrace{D_{K L}[q(\mathbf{w} ; \theta) \| p(\mathbf{w})]-\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{w} ; \theta)} \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathbf{w})}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}, \theta)} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

(derived similar to VI)

Perform SGD via re-parametrization to train the network. Bayes by backpropagation -
https : //arxiv.org/pdf /1505.05424.pdf.(pseudo-code)

## Credits
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