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Convnets review

- Less parameters (weights) than a FC network.
- Invariant to object translation.
- Can tolerate some distortion in the images.
- Capable of generalizing and learning features.
- Require grid input.



## CNN layers

- Convolutional layer: formed by filters, feature maps, and activation functions.
- Convolution can be full, same or valid.

$$
n_{\text {output }}=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{\text {input }}-f+2 p}{s}+1\right\rfloor .
$$

- Pooling layers: reduces overfitting.
- Fully connected layers: mix spacial and channel features together.



## Introductory convolutional network example



- Training parameters:
- 250 weights on the conv. filter +10 bias terms.
- 0 weights on the max-pool.
$-13 \times 13 \times 10=1,690$ output elements after max-pool.
$-1,690 \times 200=338,000$ weights +200 bias in the FC layer.
- Total: 338,460 parameters to be trained.

Classic Networks

## LeNet-5

- Formulation is a bit outdated considering current practices.
- Uses convolutional networks followed by pooling layers and finishes with fully connected layers.
- Starts with high dimensional features and reduces their size while increasing the number of channels.
- Around 60k parameters.



## AlexNet

- 1.2 million high-resolution (227x227x3) images in the ImageNet 2010 contest;
- 1000 different classes; NN with 60 million parameters to optimize ( $\sim 255 \mathrm{MB}$ );
- Uses ReLu activation functions;. GPUs for training; 12 layers.



## VGG-16 and VGG-19

- ImageNet Challenge 2014; 16 or 19 layers; 138 million parameters (522 MB).
- Convolutional layers use 'same' padding and stride $s=1$.
- Max-pooling layers use a filter size $f=2$ and stride $s=2$.

$$
\text { CONV = } 3 \times 3 \text { filter, } s=1 \text {, same }
$$



MAX-POOL $=2 \times 2$ filter, $\mathrm{s}=2$
$224 \times 224 \times 3$


Residual networks

## Residual block

- Residual nets appeared in 2016 to train very deep NN (100 or more layers).
- Their architecture uses 'residual blocks'.
- Plain network structure:

- Residual network block:


Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), June 2016.

## Equations of the residual block

- Plain network:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{[l]} & =g\left(z^{[l]}\right) \\
z^{[l+1]} & =W^{[l+1]} a^{[l]}+b^{[l+1]} \\
a^{[l+1]} & =g\left(z^{[l+1]}\right) \\
z^{[l+2]} & =W^{[l+2]} a^{[l+1]}+b^{[l+2]} \\
a^{[l+2]} & =g\left(z^{[l+2]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Residual block:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{[l]} & =g\left(z^{[l]}\right) \\
z^{[l+1]} & =W^{[l+1]} a^{[l]}+b^{[l+1]} \\
a^{[l+1]} & =g\left(z^{[l+1]}\right) \\
z^{[l+2]} & =W^{[l+2]} a^{[l+1]}+b^{[l+2]} \\
a^{[l+2]} & =g\left(z^{[l+2]}+a^{[l]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- With this extra connection gradients can travel backwards more easily.
- The residual block can very easily learn the identity function by setting $W^{[l+2]}=0$ and $b^{[l+2]}=0$.
- In such case, $a^{[l+2]}=g\left(a^{[l]}\right)=a^{[l]}$ for ReLu units.
- It becomes a flexible block that can expand the capacity of the network, or simply transform into a identity function that would not affect training.


## Residual network

- A residual network stacks residual blocks sequentially.

- The idea is to allow the network to become deeper without increasing the training complexity.




## Residual network

- Residual networks implement blocks with convolutional layers that use 'same' padding option (even when max-pooling).
- This allows the block to learn the identity function.
- The designer may want to reduce the size of features and use 'valid' padding.
- In such case, the shortcut path can implement a new set of convolutional layers that reduces the size appropriately.

| Number of Layers | Number of Parameters |
| :---: | :---: |
| ResNet 18 | 11.174 M |
| ResNet 34 | 21.282 M |
| ResNet 50 | 23.521 M |
| ResNet 101 | 42.513 M |
| ResNet 152 | 58.157 M |



## Classification error values on Imagenet

- Alexnet (2012) achieved a top-5 error of $15.3 \%$ (second place was $26.2 \%$ ).
- ZFNet (2013) achieved a top-5 error of $14.8 \%$ (visualization of features).

| method | top-1 err. | top-5 err. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VGG [40] (ILSVRC'14) | - | $8.43^{\dagger}$ |
| GoogLeNet [43] (ILSVRC'14) | - | 7.89 |
| VGG [40] (v5) | 24.4 | 7.1 |
| PReLU-net [12] | 21.59 | 5.71 |
| BN-inception [16] | 21.99 | 5.81 |
| ResNet-34 B | 21.84 | 5.71 |
| ResNet-34 C | 21.53 | 5.60 |
| ResNet-50 | 20.74 | 5.25 |
| ResNet-101 | 19.87 | 4.60 |
| ResNet-152 | 19.38 | 4.49 |

## Dense Networks

- Goal: allow maximum information (and gradient) flow $\longrightarrow$ connect every layer directly with each other.
- DenseNets exploit the potential of the network through feature reuse $\longrightarrow$ no need to learn redundant feature maps.
- DenseNets layers are very narrow (e.g. 12 filters), and they just add a small set of new feature-maps.



## Dense Networks II

- DenseNets do not sum the output feature maps of the layer with the incoming feature maps but concatenate them:

$$
a^{[l]}=g\left(\left[a^{[0]}, a^{[1]}, \ldots, a^{[l-1]}\right]\right)
$$

- D imensions of the feature maps remains constant within a block, but the number of filters changes between them $\longrightarrow$ growth rate:

$$
k^{[l]}=k^{[0]}+k \cdot(l-1)
$$



## Dense Networks III: Full architecture



Other combination blocks

## Network in network

- Influential concept in the deep learning literature [Lin2013].
- Authors goal was to generate a deeper network without simply stacking more layers.
- They replace few filters with a smaller perceptron layers:
- It is compatible with the backpropagation logic of neural nets.
- It can itself be a deep model leading to rich separation between latent features.
- There is a ReLu operation after every neuron:
- A richer nonlinear function approximator can serve as a better feature extractor.



## 1x1 Convolution

- A particular case from the previous concept are 1x1 convolutions.

- If the input had two dimensions, the $1 \times 1$ convolution would correspond to a scalar multiplication.
- With a greater number of channels (say, 32), the convolutional filter will have $1 \times 1 \times 32$ elements (more than a simple scaling) + non-linear activation.
- 1x1 convolution leads to dimension reductionality $\rightarrow$ feature pooling technique.
- Reduces the overfitting capacity of the network.
- FC layers can be regarded as 1x1 convolutions if they go after a FC layer.


## Global Average Pooling

- Another idea from [Lin2013] is a technique to simplify the last layers of CNNs.
- In traditional CNNs, feature maps of the last convolution layer are flattened and passed on to one or more fully FC, which are then passed on to softmax.
- An estimate says that the last FC layers contain $90 \%$ of parameters of the NN.
- Global Average Pooling uses a FC layer with as many outputs as the number of classes being predicted.
- Then, each map is averaged given rise to the raw scores of the classes and fed to softmax.
- No new parameters to train (unlike the FC layers),
 leading to less overfitting.
- Robust to spatial translations of the input.


## Inception module

- The motivation behind inception networks is to use more than a single type of convolutional layer at each layer.
- Use $1 \times 1,3 \times 3,5 \times 5$ convolutional layers, and max-pooling layers in parallel.
- All modules use same convolution.
- Naïve implementation:


Christian Szegedy, Wei Liu, Yangqing Jia, Pierre Sermanet, Scott Reed, Dragomir Anguelov, Dumitru Erhan, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Andrew Rabinovich, "Going deeper with convolutions," in Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2015, pp. 1-9.

## Inception module with dimension reductions

- Use $1 \times 1$ convolutions that reduce the size of the channel dimension.
- The number of channels can vary from the input to the output.



## GoogLeNet network

- The inception network is formed by concatenating other inception modules.
- It includes several softmax output units to enforce regularization.



## Summary of networks

- We are now reaching top-5 error rates lower than human manual classification.

| Year | CNN | Developed <br> by | Place | Top-5 error <br> rate | No. of <br> parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1998 | LeNet(8) | Yann LeCun <br> et al |  |  | 60 thousand |
| 2012 | ZFNet() | Matthew <br> Zeiler and <br> Rob Fergus | 1st | Alex <br> Krizhevsky, <br> Geoffrey <br> Hinton, Ilya <br> Sutskever | 1st |
| 2013 | GoogLeNet(1 <br> 9) | Google | $15.3 \%$ | 60 million |  |
| 2014 | VGt Net(16) | Simonyan, <br> Zisserman | 2nd | $6.67 \%$ | 4 million |
| 2014 | ResNet(152) | Kaiming He | 1st | $3.6 \%$ | 138 million |
| 2015 | VGG |  |  |  |  |

Object recognition systems

- Brute force approach $\longrightarrow$ several window sizes moved throughout the image.
- Patches are cut and warped $\longrightarrow$ passed through a classification CNN.

- Pseudo-code:
for window in windows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { patch }=\text { get_patch(image, window }) \\
& \text { results }=\text { detector(patch })
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sliding window architecture



## Selective Search

- Goal: Reduce number of proposed windows $\longrightarrow$ Regions of interest (ROI).
- Start with single pixel as individual groups $\longrightarrow$ pair groups w/ similarity.
- Capture all scales: use hierarchical algorithm.
- Diversification: multiple strategies that consider all use cases.
- Fast to Compute: should not become a bottleneck?



## R-CNN

- Use ROI proposal and feed a CNN.

- Pseudo-code:

ROIs $=$ region_proposal(image)
for ROI in ROIs
patch $=$ get_patch(image, ROI)
results $=$ detector $($ patch $)$

## Boundary box regressor

- ROI computation is expenseive.
- In order to reduce computation $\longrightarrow$ simplify the ROI proposal.
- Refine the anchors $\longrightarrow$ FC layer and regression loss.



## Fast R-CNN

- R-CNN is slow in training \& inference $\longrightarrow$ repeat feature extractions 2,000 times.
- Use a feature extractor (a CNN) to extract features for the whole image first.
- Warp the patches to a fixed size using ROI pooling and feed them to FC layers.

- Pseudo-code:
feature_maps $=$ process(image)
ROIs $=$ region_proposal(image)
for ROI in ROIs
patch $=$ roi_pooling(feature_maps, ROI)
results $=$ detector $2($ patch $)$


## ROI Pooling

- Perform max-pooling operations on feature maps for regions of different sizes.

| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| 0.8 | 0.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.9 | 0.6 |
|  |  |

## Faster R-CNN

- Substitute the region proposal with a Region proposal network (RPN).
- For each location in the feature maps, RPN makes k guesses.



## RPN (Region Proposal Network)

- Faster R-CNN uses far more anchors. It deploys 9 anchor boxes: 3 different scales at 3 different aspect ratio. Using 9 anchors per location, it generates 29 objectness scores and 49 coordinates per location.



## Visualizing ROI proposals

1. Perform ROI proposals (RPN or distance algorithm) $\longrightarrow$ feed to CNN.
2. Output boundary box (refinements) and objectiveness score.
3. Perform per class non-maximum suppression $\longrightarrow$ removes duplicate objects.



## Performance for R-CNN methods

- Faster R-CNN is even much faster.



## Mask R-CNN

- From Faster R-CNN we add another CNN to mask regions.
- The additional CNN mask is only for coloring.



## ROI Align

- Refinement of the ROI pooling.
- Makes every target cell to have the same size.
- It also applies interpolation.

| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0. | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0. | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


|  | AP | $\mathrm{AP}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{75}$ | $\mathrm{AP}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{50}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{75}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RoIPool | 23.6 | 46.5 | 21.6 | 28.2 | 52.7 | 26.9 |
| RoIAlign | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 4}$ |
|  | +7.3 | +5.3 | +10.5 | +5.8 | +2.6 | +9.5 |


| 0.8 | 0.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.9 | 0.6 |
|  |  |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.88 | 0.6 |
| 0.9 | 0.6 |

## Single Shot Detectors

- Goal: Do not generate ROI proposals.
feature_maps $=$ process(image)
results $=$ detector(feature_maps) $\quad \#$ No more separate step for ROIs.
- Sliding windows/ROIs requires too many shapes to cover most objects.
- Then use detectors to predict class and boundary box $\longrightarrow$ this is expensive.
- Single shot detectors predict both boundary box and class at the same time.
- These networks are trained end to end $\longrightarrow$ they are very fast, and increases accuracy w.r.t. to purpose oriented subnetworks.
- Single shot detector often trades accuracy with real-time processing speed.


## Single Shot Detectors II

- ROI based:

$8 \times 8$ feature map
- Single Shot:



## YOLO Architecture

- Number of predicted parameters on output feature maps $8 \times 8$ :

$$
8 \times 8 \times D \longrightarrow(S, S, B \cdot 5+C)=8 \times 8 \times B \times 5+20 \text { for } \mathrm{C}=20 .
$$

- YOLO has evolved to YOLOv2, YOLO9000 and YOLOv3, with iprovements (such as multiscale, multiple box predictions, location box, word tree search, FPN, etc.).

- Uses a CNN as a feature extractor $\longrightarrow$ same as Faster R-CNN.
- Then add custom convolution layers to make predictions.
- Previous model can detect large objects only $\longrightarrow$ make independent object detections from multiple feature maps.



## Feature Pyramid Networks (FPN)

- Feature extractor to improve accuracy and speed.
- It helps to generate higher quality features.
- On higher layers the semantic value increases; vs. spatial resolution.
- We can mix the information flow.



## Feature Pyramid Networks (FPN) II

- FPNs can work with object detectors $\longrightarrow$ RPNs and clasifiers:


Face recognition systems

## Face recognition systems

- Verification
- Input: Image from a person to identify and a ID.
- Objective: decide whether the input image corresponds to the ID.
- Recognition
- Database of K people.
- Input: Image from a person to identify.
- Objective: Identify the person in the database or reject recognition.
- Recognition is a much harder problem than verification for a specified performance.


## One-shot learning

## Verification:

- We only have a single photo to learn the characteristics of a given person.
- Then, given a new photo, output if they correspond to the same person.
- We can construct a similarity function or distance between images:

$$
d(\text { img1,img2) }
$$

- Then, set a threshold to balance accuracy and precision.


## Siamese network

- Build a NN to generate a latent representation of an image.
- Perform two independent calculations on the input.
- Construct a loss function to determine distance between latent features:

$$
f(x, y)=\|f(x)-f(y)\|^{2}=\left\|a_{x}^{[L]}-a_{y}^{[L]}\right\|^{2}
$$



## Loss functions

- Loss should be small for the same person and far apart for different people.
- Use cross-entropy and define:

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{i} w_{i}\left|a_{i}^{[L](x)}-a_{i}^{[L](y)}\right|+b_{i}
$$

- $\chi^{2}$ loss:

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{i} w_{i} \frac{\left(a_{i}^{[L](x)}-a_{i}^{[L](y)}\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{i}^{[L](x)}+a^{[L](y)}\right)}
$$

- The representations in DeepFace are normalized between 0 and 1 to reduce the sensitivity to illumination changes.


## Triplet loss

- Given three images A, P, N:

$$
\|f(A)-f(P)\|^{2}+\alpha<\|f(A)-f(N)\|^{2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}(A, P, N)=\min \left(\|f(A)-f(P)\|^{2}-\|f(A)-f(N)\|^{2}+\alpha, 0\right)
$$

- Training:

- Evaluation:

$$
f(x, y)=\left\|a_{x}^{[L]}-a_{y}^{[L]}\right\|^{2} \lessgtr \tau
$$

- Train on 10 k pictures of 1 k persons.
- Need to choose triplets that are "hard to train on.

Thank you!

## Questions?

