Lecture #10: Classification & Logistic Regression Data Science 1 CS 109A, STAT 121A, AC 209A, E-109A

Pavlos Protopapas Kevin Rader Margo Levine Rahul Dave



Module 2: Classification

Why not Linear Regression?

Binary Response & Logistic Regression

Estimating the Simple Logistic Model

Classification using the Logistic Model

Extending the Logistic Model

Multiple Logistic Regression

Classification Boundaries

Module 2: Classification

Up to this point, the methods we have seen have centered around modeling and the prediction of a quantitative response variable (ex, # taxi pickups, # bike rentals, etc...). Linear regression (and Ridge, LASSO, etc...) perform well under these situations

When the response variable is categorical, then the problem is no longer called a regression problem (from the machine learning perspective) but is instead labeled as a classification problem.

The goal is to attempt to classify each observation into a category (aka, class or cluster) defined by Y, based on a set of predictor variables (aka, features), X.

The motivating examples for Module 2 will be based on medical data sets. Classification problems are common in this domain:

- Trying to determine where to set the 'cut-off' for some diagnostic test (pregnancy tests, prostate or breast cancer screening tests, etc...)
- Trying to determine if cancer has gone into remission based on treatment and various other indicators
- Trying to classify patients into types or classes of disease based on various genomic markers

The data set we will be using in class throughout this module is a genomic marker data set to predict sub-classes of leukemia. There are hundreds (and sometimes thousands) of genomic markers (a measure, through luminescence, of how many copies of a gene's sequence is present in a medical sample like blood or tissue) that comprise the predictors/features.

Here's a snapshot of the data:

| | Cancer_type | AFFX- BioB- 5_at | AFFX- BioB- M_at | AFFX- BioB- 3_at | AFFX- BioC- 5_at | AFFX- BioC- 3_at | AFFX- BioDn- 5_at | AFFX- BioDn- 3_at | AFFX- CreX- 5_at | AFFX- CreX- 3_at | U48730_at | U58516_at | U73738_at | X06956_at | X16699_at | X83863_at |
|---|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0 | 0 | -214 | -153 | -58 | 88 | -295 | -558 | 199 | -176 | 252 | 185 | 511 | -125 | 389 | -37 | 793 |
| 1 | 0 | -135 | -114 | 265 | 12 | -419 | -585 | 158 | -253 | 49 | 240 | 835 | 218 | 174 | -110 | 627 |
| 2 | 0 | -106 | -125 | -76 | 168 | -230 | -284 | 4 | -122 | 70 | 156 | 649 | 57 | 504 | -26 | 250 |
| 3 | 0 | -72 | -144 | 238 | 55 | -399 | -551 | 131 | -179 | 126 | 30 | 819 | -178 | 151 | -18 | 1140 |
| 4 | 0 | -413 | -260 | 7 | -2 | -541 | -790 | -275 | -463 | 70 | 289 | 629 | -86 | 302 | 23 | 1798 |

What would be a good first step in data munging here?

Why not Linear Regression?

Given a dataset $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \cdots, (x_N, y_N)\}$, where the y are categorical (sometimes referred to as qualitative), we would like to be able to predict which category y takes on given x. Linear regression does not work well, or is not appropriate at all, in this setting. A categorical variable y could be encoded to be quantitative. For example, if Y represents concentration of Harvard undergrads, then y could take on the values:

$$y = \begin{cases} 1 & if \text{ Computer Science (CS} \\ 2 & if \text{ Statistics} \\ 3 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

A linear regression could be used to predict y from x. What would be wrong with such a model?

A linear regression could be used to predict *y* from *x*. What would be wrong with such a model?

The model would imply a specific ordering of the outcome, and would treat a one-unit change in y equivalent. The jump from y = 1 to y = 2 (CS to Statistics) should not be interpreted as the same as a jump from y = 2 to y = 3(Statistics to everyone else).

Similarly, the response variable could be reordered such that y = 1 represents Statistics and y = 2 represents CS, and then the model estimates and predictions would be fundamentally different.

If the categorical response variable was *ordinal* (had a natural ordering...like class year, Freshman, Sophomore, etc...), then a linear regression model would make some sense but is still not ideal. The simplest form of classification is when the response variable Y has only two categories, and then an ordering of the categories is natural. For example, an upperclassmen Harvard student could be categorized as (note, the y = 0 category is a catch-all so it would involve both River House students and those who live in other situations: off campus, etc...):

$$y = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1 & if ext{ lives in the Quad} \\ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Linear regression could be used to predict y directly from a set of covariates (like sex, whether an athlete or not, concentration, GPA, etc...), and if $\hat{y} \geq 0.5$, we could predict the student lives in the Quad and predict other houses if $\hat{y} < 0.5$.

Even Simpler Classification Example (cont.)

What could go wrong with this linear regression model?

What could go wrong with this linear regression model? The main issue is you could get non-sensical values for \hat{y} . Since this is modeling P(y = 1), values for \hat{y} below 0 and above 1 would be at odds with the natural measure for y, and linear regression can lead to this issue. A picture is worth a thousand words...



Binary Response & Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression addresses the problem of estimating a probability, P(y = 1), to be outside the range of [0, 1]. The logistic regression model uses a function, called the *logistic* function, to model P(y = 1):

$$P(Y = 1) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}.$$

As a result the model will predict P(Y = 1) with an S-shaped curve, as seen in a future slide, which is the general shape of the logistic function. β_0 shifts the curve right or left and β_1 controls how steep the S-shaped curve is.

Note: if β_1 is positive, then the predicted P(Y = 1) goes from zero for small values of X to one for large values of X and if β_1 is negative, then P(Y = 1) has the opposite association.

Below are four different logistic models with different values for β_0 and β_1 : $\beta_0 = 0, \beta_1 = 1$ is in black, $\beta_0 = 2, \beta_1 = 1$ is in red, $\beta_0 = 0, \beta_1 = 3$ is in blue, and $\beta_0 = 0, \beta_1 = -1$ is in green.



With a little bit of algebraic work, the logistic model can be rewritten as:

$$\ln\left(\frac{P(Y=1)}{1-P(Y=1)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

The value inside the natural log function, $\frac{P(Y=1)}{1-P(Y=1)}$, is called the odds, thus logistic regression is said to model the log-odds with a linear function of the predictors or features, X. This gives us the natural interpretation of the estimates similar to linear regression: a one unit change in X is associated with a β_1 change in the log-odds of Y=1; or better yet, a one unit change in X is associated with an e^{β_1} change in the odds that Y=1.

Estimating the Simple Logistic Model

Unlike in linear regression where there exists a closed-form solution to finding the estimates, $\hat{\beta}_j$'s, for the true parameters, logistic regression estimates cannot be calculated through simple matrix multiplication. In linear regression what loss function was used to determine the parameter estimates?

Unlike in linear regression where there exists a closed-form solution to finding the estimates, $\hat{\beta}_j$'s, for the true parameters, logistic regression estimates cannot be calculated through simple matrix multiplication. In linear regression what loss function was used to determine the parameter estimates? What was the probabilistic perspective on linear regression?

Unlike in linear regression where there exists a closed-form solution to finding the estimates, $\hat{\beta}_j$'s, for the true parameters, logistic regression estimates cannot be calculated through simple matrix multiplication. In linear regression what loss function was used to determine the parameter estimates? What was the probabilistic perspective on linear regression? Logistic Regression also has a likelihood based approach to estimating parameter coefficients.

What are the possible values for the response variable, Y? What distribution defines this type of variable?

What are the possible values for the response variable, Y? What distribution defines this type of variable? A Bernoulli random variable is a discrete random variable defined as one that takes on the values 0 and 1, where P(Y=1)=p. This can be written as $Y\sim {\rm Bern}(p).$ What is the PMF of Y?

What are the possible values for the response variable, Y? What distribution defines this type of variable? A Bernoulli random variable is a discrete random variable defined as one that takes on the values 0 and 1, where P(Y=1)=p. This can be written as $Y\sim {\rm Bern}(p).$ What is the PMF of Y?

$$P(Y = y) = p^{y}(1-p)^{1-y}$$

In logistic regression, we say that the parameter p_i depends on the predictor X through the logistic function: $p_i = \frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta X_i}}$. Thus not every p_i is the same for each individual. Given the observations are independent, what is the likelihood function for p?

Given the observations are independent, what is the likelihood function for p?

$$L(p|Y) = \prod P(Y_i = y_i) = \prod p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{1 - y_i}$$
$$= \prod \left(\frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta X_i}}\right)^{y_i} \left(1 - \frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta X_i}}\right)^{1 - y_i}$$

How do we maximize this?

Given the observations are independent, what is the likelihood function for *p*?

$$L(p|Y) = \prod P(Y_i = y_i) = \prod p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{1 - y_i}$$

=
$$\prod \left(\frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta X_i}}\right)^{y_i} \left(1 - \frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta X_i}}\right)^{1 - y_i}$$

How do we maximize this? Take the log and differentiate! But jeeze does this look messy! It will not necessarily have a closed form solution? So how do we determine the parameter estimates? Through an iterative approach (Newton-Raphson). We'd like to predict whether or not a play from scrimmage (aka, regular play) in the NFL resulted in an offensive touchdown. And we'd like to make this prediction, for now, just based on distance from the goal line. How should we visualize these data? We'd like to predict whether or not a play from scrimmage (aka, regular play) in the NFL resulted in an offensive touchdown. And we'd like to make this prediction, for now, just based on distance from the goal line. How should we visualize these data? We start by visualizing the data via a scatterplot (to illustrate the logistic fit):



There are various ways to fit a logistic model to this data set in Python. The most straightforward in sklearn is via linear_model.LogisticRegression. A little bit of preprocessing work may need to be done first.

```
# Create logistic regression object
logitm = sk.LogisticRegression(C = 1000000)
logitm.fit (X, nfldata_sm["IsTouchdown"])
# The coefficients
print('Estimated beta1: \n', logitm.coef_)
print('Estimated beta0: \n', logitm.intercept_)
Estimated beta1:
[[ 0.05131007]]
Estimated beta0:
[-6.88377071]
```

Use this output to answer a few questions (on the next slide)...

1. Write down the logistic regression model.

- 1. Write down the logistic regression model.
- 2. Interpret $\hat{\beta}_1$.

- 1. Write down the logistic regression model.
- 2. Interpret $\hat{\beta}_1$.
- 3. Estimate the probability of scoring a touchdown for a play from the 10 yard line.

- 1. Write down the logistic regression model.
- 2. Interpret $\hat{\beta}_1$.
- 3. Estimate the probability of scoring a touchdown for a play from the 10 yard line.
- 4. If we were to use this model purely for classification, how would we do so? See any issues?

NFL TD Data: Solutions
The probabilities can be calculated/predicted directly using the predict_proba command based on your sklearn model.



Just like in linear regression, when the predictor, X, is binary, the interpretation of the model simplifies (and there is a quick closed form solution).

In this case, what are the interpretations of β_0 and β_1 ? For the NFL data, let X be the indicator that the play called was a pass. What is the interpretation of the coefficient estimates in this case?

The observed percentage of pass plays that result in a TD is 4.02% while it is just 1.33% for non-passes. Calculate the estimates for β_0 and β_1 if the indicator for TD was predicted from the indicator for pass play.

The uncertainty of the estimates $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ can be quantified and used to calculate both confidence intervals and hypothesis tests.

The estimate for the standard errors of these estimates, likelihood-based, is based on a quantity called Fisher's Information (beyond the scope of this class), which is related to the curvature of the function.

Due to the nature of the underlying Bernoulli distribution, if you estimate the underlying proportion p_i , you get the variance for free! Because of this, the inferences will be based on the normal approximation (and not *t*-distribution based). Of course, you could always bootstrap the results to perform these inferences as well.

Classification using the Logistic Model

How can we use a logistic regression model to perform classification?

That is, how can we predict when Y=1 vs. when Y=0? We mentioned before, we can classify all observations for which $\hat{P}(Y=1) \geq 0.5$ to be in the group associated with Y=1 and then classify all observations for which $\hat{P}(Y=1) < 0.5$ to be in the group associated with Y=0. Using such an approach is called the standard Bayes classifier. The Bayes classifier takes the approach that assigns each observation to the most likely class, given its predictor values. When will this Bayes classifier be a good one? When will it be a poor one?

When will this Bayes classifier be a good one? When will it be a poor one?

The Bayes classifier is the one that minimizes the overall classification error rate. That is, it minimizes:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum I\left(y_i=\hat{y}_i\right)$$

Is this a good Loss function to minimize? Why or why not?

The Bayes classifier may be a poor indicator within a group. Think about the NFL scatter plot... This has potential to be a good classifier if the predicted probabilities are on both sides of 0 and 1. How do we extend this classifier if Y has more than two categories? Extending the Logistic Model

In linear regression, when is the model appropriate (aka, what are the assumptions)?

In logistic regression, when is the model appropriate?

In linear regression, when is the model appropriate (aka, what are the assumptions)?

In logistic regression, when is the model appropriate? We don't have to worry about the distribution of the resisduals (we get that for free). What we do have to worry about is how Y 'links' to X in its relationship. More specifically, we assume the 'S'-shaped (aka, sigmoidal) curve follows the logistic function.

How could we check this?

Why was the logistic function chosen to model how a binary response variable can be predicted from a quantitative predictor?

Why was the logistic function chosen to model how a binary response variable can be predicted from a quantitative predictor?

Because it it takes as inputs values in (0, 1) and outputs values $(-\infty, \infty)$ so that the estimation of β is unbounded. This is not the only function that does this. Any suggestions? Why was the logistic function chosen to model how a binary response variable can be predicted from a quantitative predictor?

Because it it takes as inputs values in (0, 1) and outputs values $(-\infty, \infty)$ so that the estimation of β is unbounded. This is not the only function that does this. Any suggestions? Any inverse CDF function for an unbounded continuous distribution can work as the 'link' between the observed values for Y and how it relates 'linearly' to the predictors. So what are possible other choices? What differences do they have? Why is logistic regression preferred? The choice of link function determines the shape of the 'S' shape. Let's compare the pdf's for the Logistic and Normal distributions (called a 'probit' model...econometricians love these):



So what?

The choice of link function determines the shape of the 'S' shape. Let's compare the pdf's for the Logistic and Normal distributions (called a 'probit' model...econometricians love these):



In above graph, blue color represents logistic distribution ; while red denotes normal distribution.

So what?

Choosing a distribution with longer tails will make for a shape that asymptotes more slowly (likely a good thing for model fitting).

It is simple to illustrate examples in logistic regression when there is just one predictors variable. But the approach 'easily' generalizes to the situation where there are multiple predictors.

- A lot of the same details as linear regression apply to logistic regression. Interactions can be considered. Multicollinearity is a concern. So is overfitting. Etc...
- So how do we correct for such problems?

It is simple to illustrate examples in logistic regression when there is just one predictors variable. But the approach 'easily' generalizes to the situation where there are multiple predictors.

- A lot of the same details as linear regression apply to logistic regression. Interactions can be considered. Multicollinearity is a concern. So is overfitting. Etc...
- So how do we correct for such problems?
- Regularization and checking though train, test, and cross-validation!
- We will get into the details of this, along with other extensions of logistic regression, in the next lecture.

How can we estimate a classifier, based on logistic regression, for the following plot?



How else can we calculate a classifier from these data?

Multiple Logistic Regression

Earlier we saw the general form of *simple* logistic regression, meaning when there is just one predictor used in the model. What was the model statement (in terms of linear predictors)? Earlier we saw the general form of *simple* logistic regression, meaning when there is just one predictor used in the model. What was the model statement (in terms of linear predictors)?

$$\log\left(\frac{P(Y=1)}{1-P(Y=1)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

Earlier we saw the general form of *simple* logistic regression, meaning when there is just one predictor used in the model. What was the model statement (in terms of linear predictors)?

$$\log\left(\frac{P(Y=1)}{1-P(Y=1)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

Multiple logistic regression is a generalization to multiple predictors. More specifically we can define a multiple logistic regression model to predict P(Y = 1) as such:

$$\log\left(\frac{P(Y=1)}{1-P(Y=1)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_p X_p$$

where there are p predictors: $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_p)$. Note: statisticians are often lazy and use the notation log to mean ln (the text does this). We will write \log_{10} if this is what we mean. The estimation procedure is identical to that as before for simple logistic regression: a likelihood approach is taken, and the function is maximized across all parameters $(\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_p)$ using an iterative method like Newton-Raphson. The actual fitting of a Multiple Logistic Regression is easy using software (of course there's a python package for that) as the iterative maximization of the likelihood has already been hard coded.

In the sklearn.linear_model package, you just have to create your multidimensional X matrix to be used as predictors in the LogisticRegression function.

Interpreting the coefficients in a multiple logistic regression is similar to that of linear regression.

Key: since there are other predictors in the model, the coefficient $\hat{\beta}_j$ is the association between the j^{th} predictor and the response (on log odds scale). But we do we have to say?

Interpreting the coefficients in a multiple logistic regression is similar to that of linear regression.

Key: since there are other predictors in the model, the coefficient $\hat{\beta}_j$ is the association between the j^{th} predictor and the response (on log odds scale). But we do we have to say? Controlling for the other predictors in the model. We are trying to attribute the partial effects of each model controlling for the others (aka, controlling for possible confounders).

Let's get back to the NFL data. We are attempting to predict whether a play results in a TD based on location (yard line) and whether the play was a pass. The simultaneous effect of these two predictors can be brought into one model. Recall from earlier we had the following estimated models:

$$\log\left(\frac{P(\widehat{Y=1})}{1 - P(\widehat{Y=1})}\right) = -7.425 + 0.0626 \cdot X_{yard}$$
$$\log\left(\frac{P(\widehat{Y=1})}{1 - P(\widehat{Y=1})}\right) = -4.061 + 1.106 \cdot X_{pass}$$

The results for the multiple logistic regression model are on the next slide.

```
# Create data frame of predictors
X = nfldata[["YardLine", "IsPass"]]|
# Create logistic regression object
logitm = sk.LogisticRegression(C = 1000000)
logitm.fit (X, nfldata["IsTouchdown"])
# The coefficients
print('Estimated beta1, beta2: \n', logitm.coef_)
print('Estimated beta0: \n', logitm.intercept_)
Estimated beta1, beta2:
[[ 0.06547811 1.2066147 ]]
Estimated beta0:
[-8.30059191]
```

- Write down the complete model. Break this down into the model to predict log-odds of a touchdown based on the yard line for passes and the same model for non-passes. How is this different from the previous model (without interaction)?
- 2. Estimate the odds ratio of a TD comparing passes to non-passes.
- 3. Is there any evidence of multicollinearity in this model?
- 4. Is there any confounding in this problem?

Just like in linear regression, interaction terms can be considered in logistic regression.

- An interaction terms is incorporated into the model the same way, and the interpretation is very similar (on the log-odds scale of the response of course).
- Write down the model for the NFL data for the 2 predictors plus the interactions term.

```
# Create data frame of predictors
nfldata['Interaction'] = nfldata["YardLine"]*nfldata["IsPass"]
X = nfldata[["YardLine", "IsPass", "Interaction"]]
# Create logistic regression object
logitm = sk.LogisticRegression(C = 10000000000000000)
logitm.fit (X, nfldata["IsTouchdown"])
# The coefficients
print('Estimated beta1, beta2, beta3: \n', logitm.coef )
print('Estimated beta0: \n', logitm.intercept )
nfldata['Intercept'] = 1.0
logit sm = sm.Logit(nfldata['IsTouchdown'], nfldata[["Intercept
fit sm = logit sm.fit()
print(fit sm.summary())
nfldata.head()
```

| | YardLine | IsPass | Interaction | |
|------------------|----------|-----------|---------------|----|
| 46259 | 57 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3778 | 73 | 1 | 73 | |
| 20707 | 34 | 0 | 0 | |
| 45826 | 83 | 1 | 83 | |
| 10982 | 78 | 1 | 78 | |
| Estimated betal: | | | | |
| [[0. | 06769992 | 1.4649996 | 7 -0.00319916 | 11 |
| Estimated beta0: | | | | |
| [-8.48339235] | | | | |

- Write down the complete model. Break this down into the model to predict log-odds of a touchdown based on the yard line for passes and the same model for non-passes. How is this different from the previous model (without interaction)?
- 2. Use this model to estimate the probability of a touchdown for a pass at the 20 yard line. Do the same for a run at the 20 yard line.
- 3. Use this model to estimate the probability of a touchdown for a pass at the 99 yard line. Do the same for a run at the 99 yard line.
- 4. Is this a stronger model than the previous one? How would we check?

Classification Boundaries

Recall that we could attempt to purely classify each observation based on whether the estimated P(Y=1) from the model was greater than 0.5.

When dealing with 'well-separated' data, logistic regression can work well in performing classification.

We saw a 2-D plot last time which had two predictors, X_1 and X_2 and depicted the classes as different colors. A similar one is shown on the next slide.

2D Classification in Logistic Regression: an Example


Would a logistic regression model perform well in classifying the observations in this example?

Would a logistic regression model perform well in classifying the observations in this example? What would be a good logistic regression model to classify these points? Would a logistic regression model perform well in classifying the observations in this example?

- What would be a good logistic regression model to classify these points?
- Based on these predictors, two separate logistic regression model were considered that were based on different ordered polynomials of X_1 and X_2 and their interactions. The 'circles' represent the boundary for classification.
- How can the classification boundary be calculated for a logistic regression?

In the previous plot, which classification boundary performs better? How can you tell? How would you make this determination in an actual data example? In the previous plot, which classification boundary performs better? How can you tell? How would you make this determination in an actual data example? We could determine the misclassification rates in left out validation or test set(s)